arXiv:1703.07540v1 [math.GT] 22 Mar 2017

MULTIVARIABLE SIGNATURES, GENUS BOUNDS AND 1-SOLVABLE COBORDISMS

ANTHONY CONWAY, MATTHIAS NAGEL, AND ENRICO TOFFOLI

Abstract. We refine prior bounds on how the multivariable signature and the nullity of a link change under link cobordisms. The formula generalizes a series of results about the 4-genus having their origins in the Murasugi-Tristram inequality, and at the same time extends previously known results about concordance invariance of the signature to a bigger set of allowed variables. Finally, we show that the multivariable signature and nullity are also invariant under 1-solvable cobordism.

1. Introduction

Given $\omega \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}$, the Levine-Tristram signature and nullity of a link L are given by the signature and nullity of $(1-\omega)A + (1-\overline{\omega})A^T$, where A is any Seifert matrix for L [Lev69, Tri69]. For a μ-colored link, i.e. an oriented link L in S^3 whose components are partitioned into μ sublinks $L_1, \dots, L_\mu$, the Levine-Tristram signature and nullity have been generalized to multivariable functions

$$\sigma_L, \eta_L \colon \mathbb{T}^\mu \to \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathbb{T}^\mu$ denotes the set $(S^1 \setminus \{1\})^\mu$ [CF08]. Apart from their 3-dimensional definition using C-complexes [Coo82, CF08], a 4-dimensional interpretation in the smooth setting has been given by Cimasoni-Florens using branched covers and the G-signature theorem for elements of $\mathbb{T}^\mu$ of finite order, see [CF08, Theorem 6.1]. We focus on another interpretation by Viro [Vir09] using directly the complements of surfaces bounding the link in the 4-ball.

We shall always work in the topological (locally flat) category. Let F be a union $F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_\mu \subset D^4$ of properly embedded locally flat surfaces which only intersect each other transversally in double points and whose boundary is a colored link $L \subset S^3$. Since the first homology group of the exterior W_F of such a *colored bounding surface* $F \subset D^4$ is free abelian, any choice of $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$ gives rise to a coefficient system $H_1(W_F; \mathbb{Z}) \to U(1)$ and thus to a twisted signature $\operatorname{sign}_\omega(W_F)$, see Section 3 for details. The twisted signature $\operatorname{sign}_\omega(W_F)$ is independent of the colored bounding surface F and defines an invariant of colored links [Vir09, Section 2.3]. Building on [CFT16, Theorem 1.3], in Proposition 3.5 we give a proof to the following statement of [Vir09, Section 2.5]. The corresponding result for the nullity is proven in Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 1.1. *Let L be a μ-colored link and let $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$. For any colored bounding surface F, the twisted signature $\operatorname{sign}_\omega(W_F)$ coincides with the multivariable signature $\sigma_L(\omega)$.*

Cimasoni and Florens showed that the signature $\sigma_L(\omega)$ is invariant under smooth link concordance [CF08, Theorem 7.1] for those $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\mu) \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$ which satisfy

the following condition: there exists a prime p such that for all i, the order of ω_i is a power of p. For the same subset of $\mathbb{T}^\mu$, they provide lower bounds on the genus and on the number of double points of smooth surfaces in D^4 bounded by a colored link L [CF08, Theorem 7.2], extending the Murasugi-Tristram inequality [Mur65, Tri69] to the multivariable setting.

Building on the approach used in [NP17] to study concordance invariance of the Levine-Tristram signature, we consider the subset $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$ of $\mathbb{T}^\mu$ given by those ω's which are not roots of any polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}]$ whose evaluation on $(1, \dots, 1)$ is invertible. This set includes the elements considered in [CF08, Section 7], see Proposition 2.15. A *colored cobordism* between two μ-colored links L and L' is a collection of properly embedded locally flat surfaces $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_\mu$ in $S^3 \times [0,1]$ which have the following properties: the surfaces only intersect each other transversally in double points, each surface Σ_i has boundary $L_i \sqcup -L'_i$, and each connected component of Σ_i has at least one boundary component both in $S^3 \times \{0\}$ and in $S^3 \times \{1\}$. Our first main result gives bounds on the Euler characteristic and number of double points in such a cobordism, generalizing Powell's treatment of a genus bound for the Levine-Tristram signature [Pow17].

Theorem 1.2. *If $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_\mu$ is a colored cobordism between two μ-colored links L and L' with c double points, then*

$$|\sigma_L(\omega) - \sigma_{L'}(\omega)| + |\eta_L(\omega) - \eta_{L'}(\omega)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} -\chi(\Sigma_i) + c$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.

Two μ-colored links L and L' are *concordant* if there exists a μ-colored cobordism between L and L' which has no intersection points and consists exclusively of annuli. As a first application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following generalization of [CF08, Theorem 7.1], see Corollary 3.13 for a proof.

Corollary 1.3. *The multivariable signature and nullity are topological concordance invariants at all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$*

As a second corollary, we obtain a generalization of [CF08, Theorem 7.2], see Corollary 3.14 for a proof and Remark 3.15 for a comparison with a similar result obtained by Viro [Vir09, Section 4].

Corollary 1.4. *Let $F = F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_\mu$ be a colored bounding surface for a μ-colored link L such that $F_1, \dots, F_\mu$ have a total number of m connected components, intersecting in c double points. Then, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$, we have*

$$|\sigma_L(\omega)| + |\eta_L(\omega) - m + 1| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \beta_1(F_i) + c.$$

The last part of this article deals with 1-solvable cobordisms. This notion was defined by Cha [Cha14] giving a relative version of the notion of Cochran-Orr-Teichner's n-solvability [COT03]. We refer to Section 5 for the precise definition of n-solvable cobordant links, however note that abelian link invariants are not expected to distinguish 1-solvable cobordant links. For instance, if two links are 1-solvable cobordant, then their first non-zero Alexander polynomials agree up to norms and their Blanchfield pairings are Witt equivalent [Kim15, Theorems B and

C]. Our final result is the corresponding statement for the multivariable signature and nullity.

Theorem 1.5. *If two μ-colored links L and L' are 1-solvable cobordant, then*

$$\eta_L(\omega) = \eta_{L'}(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_L(\omega) = \sigma_{L'}(\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^m_!$.

Since concordant links are n-solvable cobordant for all n, Theorem 1.5 can be viewed as a vast refinement of Corollary 1.3.

Remark 1.6. Note that the notion of n-solvable cobordism is related to Whitney tower/grope concordance, see [Cha14] for the definition of these notions. In particular, using [Cha14, Corollary 2.17], Theorem 1.5 implies that the multivariable signature and nullity are invariant by height 3 Whitney tower/grope concordance.

Since Proposition 3.3 implies that $\operatorname{sign}_\omega(W_F) = \operatorname{sign}_\omega(W_F) - \operatorname{sign}(W_F)$, we can consider the signature defect instead of just the twisted signature and apply bordism arguments. Following this philosophy and using the tools from Section 4, the appendix gives another proof that $\operatorname{sign}_\omega(W_F) - \operatorname{sign}(W_F)$ provides a link invariant without relying on the results of Viro. Degtyarev, Florens and Lecuona announced to us that related results obtained independently are going to appear in [DFL].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the necessary background material on twisted homology and signatures. Section 3 introduces the colored signature and nullity and proves Theorem 1.2 together with its applications. Section 4 introduces plumbed 3-manifolds and proves some results about their signature defects. These form the technical foundation for the proof of Theorem 1.5, which is the subject of Section 5.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank Ana Lecuona, David Cimasoni, Vincent Florens, Stefan Friedl, Paul Kirk and Mark Powell for helpful discussions. AC thanks UQÀM for its hospitality and was supported by the NCCR SwissMap funded by the Swiss FNS. MN is grateful for his stay at the University of Regensburg funded by the SFB 1085, which started the project. ET was supported by the GK "Curvature, Cycles and Cohomology", funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). MN is supported by a CIRGET postdoctoral fellowship.

2. Twisted homology, signatures and concordance roots

In Section 2.1, we set up the conventions on twisted homology. In Section 2.2, we review twisted intersection forms, which leads us to discuss the non-additivity of the signature in Section 2.3. In Section 2.4, we generalize the concept of Knotennullstellen [NP17].

2.1. Twisted homology.

We start by fixing some notation and conventions regarding twisted homology. After that, we review two universal coefficient spectral sequences and apply them to a particular abelian coefficient system.

Let X be a connected CW-complex and let $Y \subset X$ be a possibly empty subcomplex. Denote by $p\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ the universal cover of X and set $\widetilde{Y} := p^{-1}(X)$, so that $C(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})$ is a left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$-module. Given a ring R with involution, we can consider homomorphisms $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)] \to R$ of rings with involutions, which means

that $\phi(g^{-1}) = \overline{\phi(g)}$ for all $g \in \pi_1(X)$. Such a homomorphism ϕ turns R into a $(R, \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$-bimodule and we may consider the left R-modules

$$H_*(X,Y;R) = H_*\left(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]} C(\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Y})\right),$$
$$H^*(X,Y;R) = H_*\left(\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{right-}\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]}(C(\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Y})^{\mathrm{tr}}, R)\right),$$

where the *transposed module* M^{tr} of an S-module M has the same underlying abelian group with multiplication flipped using the involution.

Our main example of twisted homology and cohomology modules will come from the following example.

Example 2.1. Let $\varphi\colon \pi_1(X) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu = \langle t_1, \dots, t_\mu \rangle$ be a homomorphism and let $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\mu) \in \mathbb{T}^\mu \subset \mathbb{C}^\mu$. Composing the induced map $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^\mu]$ with the map $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^\mu] \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{C}$ which evaluates t_i at ω_i produces a morphism $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)] \to \mathbb{C}$ of rings with involutions. In turn, ϕ endows $\mathbb{C}$ with a $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$-bimodule structure. To emphasize the choice of ω, we shall write $\mathbb{C}^\omega$ instead of $\mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathbb{C}^\omega$ is a $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)])$-bimodule, we may consider the complex vector spaces $H_k(X,Y;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$ and $H^k(X,Y;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$.

Consider the ring $\Lambda_S = \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}, (1-t_1)^{-1}, \dots, (1-t_\mu)^{-1}]$ and observe that since none of the ω_i is one, the map $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)] \to \mathbb{C}$ factors through a map $\Lambda_S \to \mathbb{C}$. In particular, the homology $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces $H_k(X,Y;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$ coincide with the k-th homology vector spaces of the chain complex $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\Lambda_S} C(X,Y;\Lambda_S)$.

In order to discuss the relation between homology and cohomology, we introduce some further notation. First, using the fact that ϕ is a morphism of rings with involution, one can check that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{right-}\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(C(\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Y})^{\mathrm{tr}}, R) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{left-}R}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} C(\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Y}), R)^{\mathrm{tr}}$$
$$f \mapsto \left((r \otimes \sigma) \mapsto r\overline{f(\sigma)}\right)$$

is a well-defined isomorphism of chain complexes of left R-modules. The isomorphism of chain complexes induces an evaluation homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ev}\colon H^k(X,Y;R) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{left-}R}(H_k(X,Y;R), R)^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

of left R-modules. This evalution map is not an isomorphism in general. Nevertheless, it can be studied using the universal coefficient spectral sequence [Lev77, Theorem 2.3]. For the sake of concreteness, instead of giving the most general statement, we shall focus on the case described in Example 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. *Given a CW-pair (X,Y) and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$, there exists a spectral sequence*

1. *converging to $H^*(X,Y;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$*
2. *with $E_2^{p,q} \cong Ext^q_{\Lambda_S}(H_p(X,Y;\Lambda_S);\mathbb{C}^\omega)$*
3. *with differentials d^r of degree $(1-r, r)$.*

More specifically, there is a filtration

$$0 \subset F_0^n \subset F_1^n \subset \cdots \subset F_n^n = H^n(X,Y;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$$

with $F_p^n / F_{p-1}^n \cong E_\infty^{p,n-p}$.

Given a pair (X, Y), we denote by $\beta_i(X, Y)$ the rank of $H_i(X, Y)$ and by $\beta_i^\omega(X, Y)$ the dimension of $H_i(X, Y; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$. As an application of Proposition 2.2, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$ and let W be a 4-dimensional manifold whose boundary decomposes as $\partial W = M \cup_\partial M'$, where M and M' are (possibly empty) connected 3-manifolds. If W is equipped with a homomorphism $H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu = \mathbb{Z}\langle e_1, \dots, e_\mu\rangle$ such that at least one generator e_i is in the image, then $\beta_{4-i}^\omega(W, M) = \beta_i^\omega(W, M')$ for $i = 0, 1$.*

Proof. By duality, $H_{4-i}(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \cong H^i(W, M'; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ and so we shall use Proposition 2.2 to argue that

$$H^i(W, M'; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_i(W, M'; \mathbb{C}^\omega), \mathbb{C}) \tag{1}$$

for $i = 0, 1$. When $i = 0$, the statement is immediate and so we focus on the case $i = 1$. Using the assumption on the map $H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$, the Λ_S-module $H_0(W; \Lambda_S)$ vanishes, see e.g. [CFT16, Lemma 2.2]. Therefore the differential $E^2_{1,0} \to E^2_{0,2} = 0$ is zero and we deduce that $E^{1,0}_\infty = E^{1,0}_2 = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_S}(H_1(W; \Lambda_S), \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ and $E^{0,1}_\infty = E^{0,1}_2 = 0$. Consequently,

$$H^1(W, M'; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_S}(H_1(W, M'; \Lambda_S), \mathbb{C}^\omega)$$

and the latter vector space is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_1(W, M'; \mathbb{C}^\omega), \mathbb{C})$ [FK06, Lemma 2.3]. Summarizing, we have established Equation (1) and the result now follows immediately. □

A particular case of the universal coefficient spectral sequence in homology, see e.g. [Hil12, Chapter 2], will also be useful.

Proposition 2.4. *Given a CW-pair (X, Y) and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$, there exists a spectral sequence*

1. *converging to $H_*(X, Y; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$*
2. *with $E^2_{p,q} \cong Tor_q^{\Lambda_S}(H_p(X, Y; \Lambda_S), \mathbb{C}^\omega)$*
3. *with differentials d^r of degree $(r, 1 - r)$.*

More specifically, there is a filtration

$$0 \subset F^0_n \subset F^1_n \subset \cdots \subset F^n_n = H_n(X, Y; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$$

with $F^p_n / F^{p-1}_n \cong E^\infty_{p,n-p}$.

As for cohomology, we provide an easy application of this spectral sequence, to which we shall often refer.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a connected CW-complex together with a homomorphism $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu = \mathbb{Z}\langle e_1, \dots, e_\mu\rangle$ such that at least one generator e_i is in the image. If $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$, then $H_0(X; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$. Furthermore, $H_1(X; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{\Lambda_S} H_1(X; \Lambda_S)$.*

Proof. Using the assumption on the map $H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$, the Λ_S-module $H_0(W; \Lambda_S)$ vanishes, see e.g. [CFT16, Lemma 2.2]. Thus Proposition 2.4 immediately implies that $H_0(X; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$. Next, we prove the statement involving $H_1(X; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$. Using the notations of Proposition 2.4, the differential $E_{0,1} \to E_{2,0} = \mathrm{Tor}_2^{\Lambda_S}(H_0(X; \Lambda_S); \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$ is zero. Consequently, $E^\infty_{1,0} = E^2_{1,0} = 0$ and $E^\infty_{0,1} = E^2_{0,1} = \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{\Lambda_S} H_1(X_L; \Lambda_S)$. It follows that $H_1(X_L; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{\Lambda_S} H_1(X_L; \Lambda_S)$, as desired. □

2.2. **Twisted intersection forms and signatures.** We review here twisted intersection forms. Our main example lies in the coefficient system introduced in Example 2.1. We conclude with a short bordism argument showing the vanishing of some signature defects.

Given a compact oriented k-dimensional manifold W, we denote the Poincaré duality isomorphisms by $\mathrm{PD}\colon H_k(W,\partial W;R)\cong H^{n-k}(W;R)$ and $\mathrm{PD}\colon H_k(W;R)\cong H^{n-k}(W,\partial W;R)$. Composing the map induced by the inclusion $(W,\emptyset)\to(W,\partial W)$ with duality and evaluation produces the map

$$\Phi\colon H_k(W;R)\to H_k(W,\partial W;R)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{PD}} H^k(W;R)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}}\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{left-}R}(H_k(W;R),R)^{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

The main definition of this section is the following.

Definition 2.6. The *R-twisted intersection pairing*

$$\lambda_R\colon H_i(W;R)\times H_i(W;R)\to R$$

is defined by $\lambda(x,y)=\Phi(y)(x)$.

The form λ_R is hermitian, but it has no reason to be nonsingular. In particular, the space $\operatorname{im} H_1(\partial W;R)\to H_1(W;R)$ is annihilated by λ_R. We conclude this section by giving a crucial example of this set-up.

Example 2.7. Let W be a compact connected oriented 4-manifold. Set $\pi=\pi_1(W)$ and let $\pi^{(n)}=[\pi^{(n-1)},\pi^{(n-1)}]$ denote its derived series starting at $\pi^{(0)}=\pi$. The projection $\pi\to\pi/\pi^{(n)}$ gives rise to the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}]$-modules $H_k(W;\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}])$ and we may consider the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}]$-twisted intersection pairing

$$\lambda_n\colon H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}])\times H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}])\to\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}],$$

as in Definition 2.6. Of particular interest to us is the case $n=1$ when $\pi/\pi^{(1)}=H_1(W;\mathbb{Z})$ is free abelian of rank μ. In this case, $\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}]$ is nothing but the commutative ring $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm1},\dots,t_\mu^{\pm1}]$ of Laurent polynomials.

We now consider the twisted intersection form in the setting of Example 2.1. Let W be a 4-dimensional manifold with (possibly empty) boundary together with a map $\varphi\colon\pi_1(W)\to\mathbb{Z}^\mu=\mathbb{Z}\langle t_1,\dots,t_\mu\rangle$. Given an element $\omega\in\mathbb{T}^\mu\subset\mathbb{C}^\mu$, we equip the ring $\mathbb{C}$ with the $(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)])$-module structure described in Example 2.1 and consider the $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces $H_k(X,Y;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$. As in Definition 2.6, we may consider the twisted intersection form

$$\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^\omega}\colon H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)\times H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)\to\mathbb{C}.$$

We write $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W=\operatorname{sign}\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^\omega}$ and $\operatorname{sign} W$ for the untwisted signature $\operatorname{sign}\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We will usually be interested in the *signature defect*

$$\operatorname{dsign}_\omega W:=\operatorname{sign}_\omega W-\operatorname{sign} W.$$

Remark 2.8. For a smooth closed manifold of even dimension, the signature twisted by any 1-dimensional representation coincides with the untwisted one and hence the signature defect vanishes, see Proposition 4.1. This can be seen by considering the twisted and untwisted Hirzebruch signature formula [BGV92, Theorem 4.7], which agree if the bundle carries a flat connection.

We prove the corresponding result for topological closed 4-manifolds over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ and give a proof which does not use index theory.

Proposition 2.9. *Let Z be a closed oriented 4-manifold with a map $\pi_1(Z) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. If Z is closed, then $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega Z = 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$.*

Proof. Given a space X, recall that the bordism group $\Omega_n(X)$ consists of bordism classes of pairs (N, ψ), where N is an n-dimensional manifold and $\psi\colon N \to X$ is a map, see [CF64] for details. Moreover, if G is a group with classifying space BG, then $\Omega_n(G)$ is defined as $\Omega_n(BG)$. Since the choice of the map $\varphi\colon \pi_1(Z) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ is equivalent to the choice of a homotopy class of a map $Z \to T^\mu = B\mathbb{Z}^\mu$, the pair (Z, φ) produces an element in $\Omega_4(\mathbb{Z}^\mu)$. The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence [CF64, Chapter 1, Section 7] gives rise to an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_4(\mathbb{Z}^\mu) &\cong \Omega_4(pt) \oplus H_4(T^\mu; \mathbb{Z}) \\ [\psi\colon Z \to T^\mu] &\mapsto [Z \to \mathrm{pt}] \oplus \psi_*[Z]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Omega_4(pt)$ is generated by the class of $\mathbb{C}P^2$. As $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is simply connected, its twisted signature agrees with the untwisted one and consequently its signature defect also vanishes.

We pick a product structure $T^\mu = (S^1)^\mu$ on the torus. By the Künneth formula, the abelian group $H_4(T^\mu; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the fundamental classes of the subtori $T^4 = (S^1)^4 \subset (S^1)^\mu$ given by inclusions of factors. Now consider the coefficient system $\mathbb{C}^\omega$ on $T^4 = T^3 \times S^1$. As $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$, this coefficient system is non-trivial on the S^1-factor. Consequently, the twisted chain complex is acylic [Vir09, Corollary App.B.B] and $H_2(T^3 \times S^1; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$. Thus, the twisted signature vanishes.

Combining this with the results above, we deduce that the signature defect vanishes on all of $\Omega_4(\mathbb{Z}^\mu)$. □

Corollary 2.10. *Let M be an oriented 3-manifold with a map $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ and let W, W' be two fillings of M over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$. Then, $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega W = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega W'$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$.*

Proof. Define the closed oriented 4-manifold $Z := W \cup_M -W'$, and notice that the map to $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ can be extended to Z. Thanks to Proposition 2.9, we have $\operatorname{sign}_\omega Z - \operatorname{sign} Z = 0$, and by Novikov additivity we get

$$0 = \operatorname{sign}_\omega Z - \operatorname{sign} Z = (\operatorname{sign}_\omega W - \operatorname{sign} W) - (\operatorname{sign}_\omega W' - \operatorname{sign} W').$$

□

2.3. **Novikov-Wall additivity of the signature.** We recall Novikov-Wall [Wal69] additivity and the definition of the Maslov index, which is the associated correction term.

Consider an oriented compact 4-manifold W together with an oriented, properly embedded 3-manifold M, which separates W into two pieces $W_\pm$. Put differently, $W = W_+ \cup_M (-W_-)$ is obtained by gluing W_+ to $-W_-$ along the submanifold M. Note that M is allowed to have nonempty boundary $\Sigma = \partial M \subset \partial W$ itself. This decomposition induces a decomposition of the boundaries $\partial W_+ = N_+ \cup_\Sigma -M$ and $\partial W_- = N_- \cup_\Sigma -M$, see Figure 1. From this, we obtain a decomposition of the boundary $\partial W = N_+ \cup_\Sigma (-N_-)$. We equip then Σ with the orientation $\Sigma = \partial M = \partial N_+ = \partial N_-$.



FIGURE 1. A 2-dimensional sketch of the Novikov-Wall set-up.

To define the correction term in the (non-)addivitity formula, consider the three subspaces

$$\begin{aligned} \ker M = &\quad \ker H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(M,\mathbb{R}) \\ \ker N_\pm = &\quad \ker H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(N_\pm,\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

An application of Poincaré duality shows that these subspaces of $H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{R})$ are maximal isotropic with respect to the intersection form ξ on $H_1(\Sigma)$. Now consider the form

$$\beta\colon (\ker N_+ + \ker N_-) \cap \ker M \times (\ker N_+ + \ker N_-) \cap \ker M \to \mathbb{R}$$

defined as follows: for $a, b \in (\ker N_+ + \ker N_-) \cap \ker M$, write $a = a_+ + a_-$ with $a_\pm \in \ker N_\pm$, and set $\beta(a,b) = \xi(a_-, b)$. One easily checks that β is a well-defined symmetric form. The signature of β is called the *Maslov index* of the three subspaces. It will be denoted by $\mathrm{Maslov}(\ker N_+, \ker N_-, \ker M)$. Permuting the roles of the three subspaces, this integer changes sign according to the sign of the permutation. We have then the following result.

Theorem 2.11. *If W is decomposed as above as the union of W_+ and $-W_-$, then*

$$\mathrm{sign}(W) = \mathrm{sign}\, W_+ - \mathrm{sign}\, W_- + \mathrm{Maslov}(\ker N_-, \ker N_+, \ker M).$$

It follows immediately from the definition of the Maslov index that, if any two of the three subspaces coincide, then $\mathrm{Maslov}(\ker N_-, \ker N_+, \ker M) = 0$. Whenever the Maslov index vanishes, we say that *Novikov-Wall additivity* holds for the given decomposition.

Theorem 2.11 admits a generalization to twisted coefficients. For simplicity, in the twisted setting we shall only state a weaker result which is sufficient for our purposes. Suppose to have a map $H_1(W;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. With this map, we can construct the local coefficient systems $\mathbb{C}^\omega$ for every $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$, as explained in Example 2.1. The following additivity result holds for the twisted signature.

Proposition 2.12. *Suppose that W is decomposed as above as the union of $-W_-$ and W_+. Then, for each $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$ such that $H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$, Novikov-Wall additivity holds for the twisted signature:*

$$\mathrm{sign}_\omega W = \mathrm{sign}_\omega W_+ - \mathrm{sign}_\omega W_-.$$

2.4. **Concordance roots and vanishing results.** We generalize the concept of Knotennullstellen [NP17]. After applying this concept to a variation of a well-known chain homotopy argument, we discuss some further properties of these elements.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}]$ be the subset of Laurent polynomials $p(t_1, \dots, t_\mu)$ such that $p(1, \dots, 1) = \pm 1$.

Definition 2.13. An element $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu = (S^1 \setminus \{1\})^\mu$ is a *concordance root* if there is a polynomial $p \in U$ with $p(\omega) = 0$. Define $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$ to be the subset of all elements $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$ which are *not* concordance roots.

Definition 2.13 is a generalization of [NP17, Definition 1.1] to the multivariable case. The key property of non concordance roots is that they allow us to use a well-known chain homotopy argument, see [COT03, Proposition 2.10]. The result below is an adaptation of [NP17, Lemma 3.1]. Before we state it, we quickly recall the following property of the localization $U^{-1}\Lambda$ of $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_\mu^{\pm 1}]$ and the augmentation map $\mathrm{aug}\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$, which sends $p(t_1, \dots, t_\mu)$ to $p(1, \dots, 1)$: let $g\colon \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^k$ be a Λ-module homomorphism with the property that $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathrm{aug}} g$ is an isomorphism. Then

$$U^{-1}\Lambda \otimes g\colon (U^{-1}\Lambda)^k \to (U^{-1}\Lambda)^k$$

is also an isomorphism. This can be obtained from considerations of determinants [NP17, Section 3].

Lemma 2.14. *Let k be a non-negative integer. Let (X, Y) be a pair of CW-complexes over $B\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ with $H_i(X, Y; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $0 \le i \le k$. If ω is in $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$, then $H_i(X, Y; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ for $0 \le i \le k$.*

Proof. We make the following abbreviations $C^{\mathbb{Z}} := C(X, Y; \mathbb{Z})$ and $C^\Lambda := C(X, Y; \Lambda)$ for the cellular chain complexes of the pairs (X, Y). For the remainder of the proof, i will be an arbitrary integer $0 \le i \le k$. The chain complex $C^{\mathbb{Z}}$ consists of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, and as $H_i(C^{\mathbb{Z}}) = 0$, it admits a partial contraction, i.e. homomorphisms $s_i\colon C_i^{\mathbb{Z}} \to C_{i+1}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with

$$\mathrm{id}_i = s_{i-1} \circ d_i + d_{i+1} \circ s_i.$$

Consider the chain map $\varepsilon\colon C^\Lambda \to C^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of chain complexes over Λ which is induced by tensoring with the augmentation map. Pick a lift s_i^Λ of s_i under ε, which is a homomorphism $s_i^\Lambda\colon C_i^\Lambda \to C_{i+1}^\Lambda$ of Λ-modules such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_i^\Lambda & \xrightarrow{s_i^\Lambda} & C_{i+1}^\Lambda \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon} \\ C_i^{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{s_i} & C_{i+1}^{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{array}$$

Such a lift exists because C_i^Λ consists of free modules and the map ε is surjective. Consider the partial chain map

$$f_i = s_{i-1}^\Lambda \circ d_i + d_{i+1} \circ s_i^\Lambda.$$

By construction, $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\Lambda f_i = s_{i-1} \circ d_i + d_{i+1} \circ s_i = id_{C_i}$ and so $U^{-1}\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda f_i$ is also an isomorphism. We obtain that $U^{-1}\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda s_i^\Lambda$ is a partial chain contraction for $U^{-1}\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda C^\Lambda$ and

$$H_i(X, Y; U^{-1}\Lambda) = H_i(U^{-1}\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda C^\Lambda) = 0.$$

Now we tensor with the right Λ-module $\mathbb{C}^\omega$. As ω is not a concordance root, the module $\mathbb{C}^\omega$ is in fact a right $U^{-1}\Lambda$-module. Note that $\mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda s_i^\Lambda$ is a partial chain contraction for $\mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{U^{-1}\Lambda} U^{-1}\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda C^\Lambda$ and so $H_i(X, Y; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$. □

For the remainder of the section, we collect properties of the set $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$ of non-concordance roots. For a prime p, define

$$\mathbb{T}^\mu_p := \{\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu : \omega_i \text{ is a } p^n\text{-root of unity for some } n\}$$

and $\mathbb{T}^\mu_P := \bigcup_p \mathbb{T}^\mu_p$. This is the set for which concordance invariance properties and genus bounds are proved in [CF08, Section 7]. The next result shows that the set $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$ of non-concordance roots contains $\mathbb{T}^\mu_P$.

Proposition 2.15. *The set $\mathbb{T}^\mu_P$ is contained in $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_P$ and $q(t_1, \dots, t_\mu)$ be a polynomial such that $q(\omega) = 0$. We have to show that $q(1, \dots, 1) \neq \pm 1$. We pick n large enough such that all ω_i are p^n-roots of unity. The subgroup consisting of the p^n-roots of unity is cyclic. Thus we write $\omega = (\zeta^{n_1}, \dots, \zeta^{n_\mu})$ for a primitive p^n-root of unity ζ. Define the one variable polynomial $\overline{q}(t) := q(t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_\mu})$. Hence, we have $\overline{q}(\zeta) = 0$, so $\overline{q}(t)$ is a multiple of the p^n-th cyclotomic polynomial, whose value at 1 equals p. It follows that p divides $q(1, \dots, 1) = \overline{q}(1)$ and so cannot be equal to ± 1. □

The following example shows that $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$ also contains elements which are not in $\mathbb{T}^\mu_P$, but have algebraic coordinates.

Example 2.16. We claim that the algebraic element $\omega = (\frac{3+4i}{5}, -1)$ is in $\mathbb{T}^2_!$, but not contained in $\mathbb{T}^2_P$. The algebraic number $\omega_0 = \frac{3+4i}{5} \in S^1$, has minimal polynomial $p(t) = 5t^2 - 6t + 5$ and is not a root of unity [NP17, Lemma 2.1]. It follows that ω_0 is not an element of $\mathbb{T}^1_P$.

To show that $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^2_!$, we prove that any polynomial $q(t_1, t_2)$ with $q(\omega) = 0$ has $q(1, 1) \neq \pm 1$. Consider $\overline{q}(t) := q(t, -1)$ and note that $\frac{3+4i}{5}$ is a root of $\overline{q}(t)$. As a consequence $4 = p(1)$ divides $\overline{q}(1)$ and $\overline{q}(1) = q(1, -1)$ is even. It follows that $q(1, 1)$ must also be even.

Lemma 2.17. *Let $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n_!$. Suppose $\beta\colon \{1, \dots, \mu\} \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ is any map. Then $(\omega_{\beta(1)}, \dots, \omega_{\beta(\mu)})$ is an element of $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.*

Proof. Let $q(t_1, \dots, t_\mu)$ be a polynomial such that $q(\omega_\beta) = 0$, where ω_β denotes $(\omega_{\beta(1)}, \dots, \omega_{\beta(\mu)})$. Define a polynomial in n-variables by the equality $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = q(x_{\beta(1)}, \dots, x_{\beta(\mu)})$. Note that $p(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) = q(\omega_{\beta(1)}, \dots, \omega_{\beta(\mu)}) = 0$ and as $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n_!$, we deduce that $q(1, \dots, 1) = p(1, \dots, 1) \neq \pm 1$. □

As it is shown from the following remark, it is also easy to construct elements which do not belong to $\mathbb{T}^\mu_!$ and for which our main results will not apply.

Remark 2.18. Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\mu) \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$. A consequence of Lemma 2.17 is that, if ω belongs to $T^\mu_!$, then all the coefficients ω_i belong to $\mathbb{T}^1_!$. Phrasing it differently, if any of the coefficients of ω is a concordance root, then ω itself is a concordance root.

3. Colored signatures and nullities of links

In Section 3.1, we give a definition of the colored signature and nullity of a colored link. Section 3.2 discusses their relation with the invariants introduced by Cimasoni-Florens [CF08], see Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5. Section 3.3 introduces the notion of colored cobordism and presents the statement of Theorem 3.7. Section 3.4 is devoted to the proof of the theorem. Finally, Section 3.5 provides the applications of Theorem 3.7 and puts it in relation with some previously known results.

3.1. Set-up.

This section deals with some preliminaries on colored links and their colored bounding surfaces. Making use of this set-up, we introduce our main invariants: the colored signature and the colored nullity.

Let $L = L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_\mu \subset S^3$ be a μ-colored link. We denote the exterior of L by X_L and recall that the abelian group $H_1(X_L;\mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by the meridians of L. Summing the meridians of the same color, we obtain a homomorphism $H_1(X_L;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. A *colored bounding surface* for a colored link L is a union $F = F_1 \cup \ldots \cup F_\mu$ of properly embedded, locally flat, compact oriented surfaces $F_i \subset D^4$ with $\partial F_i = L_i$ and which only intersect each other transversally in double points. A *bounding surface* of a link L is a union $F = F_1 \cup \ldots \cup F_m$ of properly embedded, locally flat, compact, connected and oriented surfaces $F_i \subset D^4$ which only intersect each other transversally in double points, and $\partial F = L$. Note that we require each F_i to be connected. Forgetting about the colors, a colored bounding surface turns into a bounding surface formed by the union of its connected pieces.

As the surfaces F_i are required to be locally flat, they admit tubular neighborhoods. Given a (possibly colored) bounding surface F of L, we denote by νF the union of some choice of tubular neighborhoods for its components. We denote then by $W_F := D^4 \setminus \nu F$ the exterior of F. For the convenience of the reader, we give an argument for the following well-known fact.

Lemma 3.1. *Given a bounding surface F, the abelian group $H_1(W_F;\mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by the meridians of the components F_i.*

Proof. Pick a small ball B_x around each intersection point x of F. Note that $W_F = D^4 \setminus (\bigcup_x B_x \cup \bigcup_i \nu F_i^\circ)$, where the surface F_i° is F_i with little discs removed around the intersection points. Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of $D^4 \setminus \bigcup_x B_x = W_F \cup \bigcup_i \nu F_i^\circ$ with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients

$$\ldots \to H_2\Big(D^4 \setminus \bigcup_x B_x\Big) \to H_1\Big(\bigcup_i F_i^\circ \times S^1\Big) \to H_1\Big(\bigcup_i F_i^\circ \times D^2\Big) \oplus H_1(W_F) \to H_1\Big(D^4 \setminus \bigcup_x B_x\Big) \to \ldots.$$

This simplifies to $0 \to H_1\big(\bigcup_i \{pt_i\} \times S^1;\mathbb{Z}\big) \to H_1(W_F;\mathbb{Z}) \to 0$, where $pt_i \in F_i$. □

Consequently, there is a canonical homomorphism $H_1(W_F;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ which restricts to $H_1(X_L;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ on the link exterior: indeed the inclusion $X_L \subset W_F$ sends the meridians of L to the meridians of F. Since X_L and W_F are now both spaces over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$, we can give the following definition.

Definition 3.2. Let F be a colored bounding surface for a μ-colored link L. Given $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$, define the *colored signature* $\sigma_L(\omega)$ and the *colored nullity* $\eta_L(\omega)$ by

$$\sigma_L(\omega) = \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F, \quad \eta_L(\omega) = \dim H_1(X_L;\mathbb{C}^\omega).$$

Viro [Vir09, Theorem 2.A] showed that $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F$ is independent of the choice of colored bounding surface. It is sometimes useful in the following to view $\sigma_L(\omega)$ as signature defect, which is made possible by the following result, probably well known to the experts.

Proposition 3.3. *If F is a colored bounding surface for a μ-colored link L, the untwisted intersection form on W_F is trivial. As a consequence, the signature* $\operatorname{sign} W_F$ *vanishes and we have $\sigma_L(\omega) = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega W_F$.*

Proof. Set $M_F := \overline{\nu F} \cap W_F$ so that $\partial W_F = X_L \cup_{L\times S^1} M_F$. Consider the portion $H_2(M_F;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W_F;\mathbb{Z}) \oplus 0 \to 0$ of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence associated to the decomposition $D^4 = W_F \cup \nu F$. It follows that the map $H_2(M_F;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W_F;\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Since M_F is contained in the boundary of W_F, the natural map $j\colon H_2(\partial W_F;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W_F;\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. The statement follows immediately since elements of $\operatorname{im} j$ annihilate the intersection form. □

3.2. **C-complexes.** We recall the multivariable signature and nullity functions introduced by Cimasoni-Florens [CF08] using C-complexes. Our main objective is to show that these invariants coincide with the colored signature and nullity defined in Section 3.1.

A *C-complex* for a μ-colored link L consists of a collection of Seifert surfaces $S_1, \dots, S_\mu$ for the sublinks $L_1, \dots, L_\mu$ that intersect only along clasps, see [Coo82, Cim04, CF08] for details. Given such a C-complex and a sequence $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_\mu)$ of ± 1's, there are 2^μ *generalized Seifert matrices* A^ε, which extend the usual Seifert matrix [Cim04, CF08]. Note that for all ε, $A^{-\varepsilon}$ is equal to $(A^\varepsilon)^T$. Using this fact, one easily checks that for any $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\mu)$ in the μ-dimensional torus, the matrix

$$H(\omega) = \sum_\varepsilon \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} (1 - \overline{\omega}_i^{\varepsilon_i})\, A^\varepsilon$$

is Hermitian. Since this matrix vanishes when one of the coordinates of ω is equal to 1, we restrict ourselves to $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$. The *multivariable signature* is the signature of the Hermitian matrix $H(\omega)$ and the *multivariable nullity* is $\operatorname{null} H(\omega) + \beta_0(S) - 1$, where $\beta_0(S)$ is the number of connected components of S.

We start by proving that $\eta_L(\omega) = \operatorname{null} H(\omega) + \beta_0(S) - 1$, i.e that the colored nullity is equal to the multivariable nullity:

Proposition 3.4. *Let L be a μ-colored link. For every $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$ and for any C-complex S for L, we have the equality $\eta_L(\omega) = \operatorname{null} H(\omega) + \beta_0(S) - 1$.*

Proof. Since the multivariable nullity $\operatorname{null} H(\omega) + \beta_0(S) - 1$ is independent of the chosen C-complex [CF08, Theorem 2.1], pick S for which there is at least one clasp between each pairs of surfaces S_i and S_j, so that in particular $\beta_0(S) = 1$. Note that this is possible thanks to [Cim04, Lemma 3]. Using [CF08, Corollary 3.6] the Alexander module $H_1(X_L;\Lambda_S)$ admits a square presentation matrix given by $H(t)$. Tensoring with $\mathbb{C}^\omega$ we deduce that $H(\omega)$ presents $\mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{\Lambda_S} H_1(X_L;\Lambda_S)$. Using Lemma 2.5, we obtain that $H_1(X_L;\mathbb{C}^\omega) = \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{\Lambda_S} H_1(X_L;\Lambda_S)$ and consequently $H(\omega)$ also presents $H_1(X_L;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$. The result follows immediately. □

We conclude by showing that the colored signature $\sigma_L(\omega)$ coincides with the multivariable signature of Cimasoni-Florens [CF08].

Proposition 3.5. *If L is a μ-colored link L, then $\sigma_L(\omega) = \operatorname{sign} H(\omega)$, i.e. the colored signature is equal to the multivariable signature.*

Proof. Since the colored signature is independent of the choice of a colored bounding surface, we take F to be a push-in of a C-complex in the 4-ball, see [CFT16, Section 3.1] for a precise description. By [CFT16, Theorem 1.3], the intersection pairing λ_{Λ_S} is represented by $H(t)$. Since we wish to show that the intersection pairing $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^\omega}$ is represented by $H(\omega)$, the theorem will follow if we manage to produce the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{\Lambda_S} H_2(W_F;\Lambda_S) \times \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{\Lambda_S} H_2(W_F;\Lambda_S) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes_{\Lambda_S} \Lambda_S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_2(W_F;\mathbb{C}^\omega) \times H_2(W_F;\mathbb{C}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}. \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Further assuming S to be totally connected implies that $H_i(W_F;\Lambda_S)$ vanishes for $i \neq 2$, and is a finitely generated free Λ_S-module for $i = 2$ [CFT16, Section 3 and Proposition 4.1].

Consider the following diagram below, where homology groups and tensor products without coefficients are over Λ_S. Applying the universal coefficient spectral sequence, as described in Propositions 2.2 and 2.4, the first three vertical maps in the following commutative diagram are isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes H_2(W_F) & \to & \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes H_2(W_F,\partial W_F) & \to & \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes H^2(W_F) & \to & \mathbb{C}^\omega \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda_S}(H_2(W_F),\Lambda_S)^{\mathrm{tr}} \\ \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong \\ H_2(W_F;\mathbb{C}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W_F,\partial W_F;\mathbb{C}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & H^2(W_F;\mathbb{C}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_2(W_F;\mathbb{C}^\omega),\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{tr}}. \end{array}$$

The last vertical map is an isomorphism since $H_2(W_F;\Lambda_S)$ is finitely generated and free. Reformulating, we have precisely obtained the diagram of Equation (2). □

3.3. **The genus bound.** For elements $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_P$, the multivariable signature and nullity are known to give lower bounds on the genus of colored bounding surfaces [CF08, Theorem 7.2]. In this section we prove a more general result for surfaces in $S^3 \times [0,1]$. As corollaries, we extend the concordance invariance results of [CF08, Theorem 7.1] and generalize the lower bounds of [CF08, Theorem 7.2].

Definition 3.6. A *colored cobordism* between two μ-colored links L and L' is a collection of properly embedded locally flat surfaces $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_\mu$ in $S^3 \times [0,1]$ which have the following properties: the surfaces only intersect each other in double points, each surface Σ_i has boundary $L_i \sqcup -L'_i$, and each connected component of Σ_i has a boundary both in $S^3 \times \{0\}$ and in $S^3 \times \{1\}$. We say that Σ *has m components* if the disjoint union of the surfaces $\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_\mu$ has m connected components.

The main result of this section is the following lower bound.

Theorem 3.7. *If $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_\mu$ is a colored cobordism between two μ-colored links L and L' with c double points, then*

$$|\sigma_L(\omega) - \sigma_{L'}(\omega)| + |\eta_L(\omega) - \eta_{L'}(\omega)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} -\chi(\Sigma_i) + c$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.

Remark 3.8. The right-hand side of the inequality can equivalently be expressed in terms of the first Betti number or of the genus of the surfaces. Suppose that L is an n-component link, L' is an n'-component link, and that the cobordism Σ has m components (in the sense of Definition 3.6). Then, we have the following equalities:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} -\chi(\Sigma_i) + c = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} b_1(\Sigma_i) - m + c = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} 2g_i(\Sigma_i) + n + n' - 2m + c.$$

For this reason, we will usually refer to the inequality of Theorem 3.7 as a *genus bound*, even if the genus does not appear explicitly in the formula.

3.4. **Proof of the main theorem.** We proceed towards the proof of Theorem 3.7, starting with a series of preliminary results.

First, we describe the Euler characteristic of the exterior W_Σ of a colored cobordism Σ in $S^3 \times [0,1]$ in terms of the Euler characteristic of the surfaces Σ_i.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose Σ is a μ-colored cobordism between two colored links L and L'. Then the Euler characteristic of W_Σ is given by*

$$\chi(W_\Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} -\chi(\Sigma_i) + c.$$

Proof. First, we prove that $\chi(W_\Sigma) = -\chi(\nu\Sigma)$. Consider the decomposition $S^3 \times I = \nu\Sigma \cup W_\Sigma$ and set $M_\Sigma := \nu\Sigma \cap W_\Sigma$. Using the decomposition formula for the Euler characteristic yields $\chi(S^3 \times I) = \chi(W_\Sigma) + \chi(\nu\Sigma) - \chi(M_\Sigma)$. As the Euler characteristic of a 3-manifold with toroidal boundary vanishes, $\chi(M_\Sigma) = 0$. Since $\chi(S^3 \times I)$ also vanishes, the claim follows. Now note that $\nu\Sigma$ is homotopy equivalent to the union $A = \bigcup_i \Sigma_i \subset S^3$. Recall that the surfaces Σ_i intersect each other in c points. We apply again the decomposition formula for A and obtain

$$\chi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \chi(\Sigma_i) - \chi\Big(\bigcup_{i \neq j} \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j\Big) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \chi(\Sigma_i) - c.$$

□

By Lemma 3.1, one observes that $H_1(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by the meridians of Σ. Consequently there is a homomorphism $H_1(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ which extends the maps on $H_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(X_{L'}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Next, we observe that with $\mathbb{C}^\omega$ coefficients, the boundary of W_Σ behaves as the disjoint union of the link exteriors X_L and $X_{L'}$.

Lemma 3.10. *The inclusion of $X_L \sqcup X_{L'}$ into ∂W_Σ induces an isomorphism*

$$H_i(X_L; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \oplus H_i(X_{L'}; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \cong H_i(\partial W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$.

Proof. The boundary of W_Σ decomposes into the union of X_L, $X_{L'}$ and the plumbed 3-manifold M_Σ. The homology groups $H_*(M_\Sigma; \Lambda_S)$ are zero [CFT16, Proof of Lemma 5.2]. The universal coefficient spectral sequence of Proposition 2.4 implies that $H_*(M_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$. The result now follows from the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for ∂W_F. □

The next lemma provides some information on the twisted homology of W_Σ.

Lemma 3.11. *If $\Sigma \subset S^3 \times I$ is a μ-colored cobordism between L and L' and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$, then*

(1) $\beta^\omega_1(W_\Sigma) \leq \eta_L(\omega)$ *and* $\beta^\omega_1(W_\Sigma) \leq \eta_{L'}(\omega)$,
(2) $H_k(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$ *for* $k = 0, 3, 4$.

Proof. As W_Σ and X_L are both connected, there is an isomorphism $H_0(X_L; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_0(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$. Since the inclusion $X_L \subset W_\Sigma$ takes meridians to meridians, $H_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Combining these facts, $H_i(W_\Sigma, X_L; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, so that Lemma 2.14 gives $H_i(W_\Sigma, X_L; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. It follows from the long exact sequence of the pair (W_Σ, X_L) that the inclusion induced map $H_1(X_L; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ is surjective, and thus $\beta^\omega_1(W_\Sigma) \leq \eta_L(\omega)$. Repeating the argument for $X_{L'}$, the first statement is proven.

Since the inclusion of X_L into W_Σ factors through ∂W_Σ, an analogous argument shows that $H_i(W_\Sigma, \partial W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. Lemma 2.3 now implies that $H_i(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$ for $i = 3, 4$. □

We conclude this section with a dimension count which will prove itself useful to bound the twisted signature of W_Σ.

Lemma 3.12. *For $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$ and a μ-colored cobordism $\Sigma \subset S^3 \times [0, 1]$, we have*

$$\dim \left(\frac{H_2(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega)}{\operatorname{im} i} \right) = \beta^\omega_2(W_\Sigma) - \beta^\omega_2(\partial W_\Sigma) - \beta^\omega_1(W_\Sigma),$$

where $i \colon H_2(\partial W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ is induced by the inclusion.

Proof. Recall that by Lemma 3.11, the vector space $H_3(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ vanishes. Consider the following portion of the long exact sequence of the pair $(W_\Sigma, \partial W_\Sigma)$:

$$0 \to H_3(W_\Sigma, \partial W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_2(\partial W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \xrightarrow{i} H_2(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega).$$

By exactness, the dimension of $\operatorname{im} i$ is equal to $\beta^\omega_2(\partial W_\Sigma) - \operatorname{im} \delta$. As δ is injective, one gets $\dim \operatorname{im} i = \beta^\omega_2(\partial W_\Sigma) + \beta^\omega_3(W_\Sigma, \partial W_\Sigma)$. The result now follows since Lemma 2.3 implies that $\beta^\omega_3(W_\Sigma, \partial W_\Sigma) = \beta^\omega_1(W_\Sigma)$. □

We are now ready to conclude the proof of Theorem 3.7.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. We start by proving the following inequality:

$$|\operatorname{sign}_\omega W_\Sigma| \leq \chi(W_\Sigma) - |\eta_L(\omega) - \eta_{L'}(\omega)|.$$

Since the twisted intersection form $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^\omega}$ descends to a pairing on $H_2(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}_\omega)/\operatorname{im} i$, an application of Lemma 3.12 yields

$$|\operatorname{sign}_\omega W_\Sigma| \leq \dim \frac{H_2(W_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^\omega)}{\operatorname{im} i} = \beta^\omega_2(W) - \beta^\omega_2(\partial W) - \beta^\omega_1(W). \tag{3}$$

Now, thanks to Lemma 3.11, we have $\chi(W_\Sigma) = \beta^\omega_2(W_\Sigma) - \beta^\omega_1(W_\Sigma)$, and using Lemma 3.10, one gets $\beta^\omega_1(\partial W_\Sigma) = \eta_L(\omega) + \eta_{L'}(\omega)$. Using these last two identities, Equation (3) can be rewritten as

$$|\operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F| \leq \chi(W_\Sigma) + 2\beta^\omega_1(W_\Sigma) - \eta_L(\omega) - \eta_{L'}(\omega).$$

The desired inequality is now obtained by using Lemma 3.11 to bound $\beta^\omega_1(W_\Sigma)$ both by $\eta_L(\omega)$ and $\eta_{L'}(\omega)$.

With the inequality above, Theorem 3.7 will follow from Lemma 3.9 once we have established that

$$\operatorname{sign}_\omega W_\Sigma = \operatorname{sign}_{L'}(\omega) - \operatorname{sign}_L(\omega).$$

Pick a colored bounding surface $F \subset D^4$ for L. Thanks to Proposition 3.3, we have $\sigma_L(\omega) = \operatorname{sign}_\omega(W_F)$. One can now form the surface with singularities $F \cup_L \Sigma \subset D^4 \cup_{S^3} S^3 \times I$. Using an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism between $D^4 \cup_{S^3} S^3 \times I$ and D^4, the surface $F \cup_L \Sigma$ is sent to a colored bounding surface for L'. Its complement $W_{F'}$ is clearly homeomorphic to $W_F \cup_{X_L} W_\Sigma$. Once again thanks to Proposition 3.3, we have $\sigma_{L'}(\omega) = \operatorname{sign}_\omega(W_{F'})$. Since $H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$, Proposition 2.12 implies that Novikov additivity holds for the twisted signature, yielding

$$\operatorname{sign}_\omega W = \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F + \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_\Sigma.$$

Summarizing, we have shown that $\sigma_{L'}(\omega) = \sigma_L(\omega) + \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_\Sigma$. Combining this with the inequality of Equation (3) concludes the proof of Theorem 3.7. □

3.5. **Applications of the genus bound.** We will give two applications of Theorem 3.7. First, we show that the colored signature and nullity are concordance invariants, see Corollary 3.13, then we study the genus of colored bounding surfaces in Corollary 3.14.

Two μ-colored links L and L' are *concordant* if there exists a μ-colored cobordism between L and L' which has no intersection points and consists exclusively of annuli.

Corollary 3.13. *If L_1 and L_2 are two colored concordant links, then*

$$\sigma_{L_1}(\omega) = \sigma_{L_2}(\omega) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \eta_{L_1}(\omega) = \eta_{L_2}(\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.7 to the case where each Σ_i is a union of annuli and there are no double points. The result follows as all the terms in the right-hand side of the inequality are zero. □

An application of Theorem 3.7 gives the inequality below.

Corollary 3.14. *Let $F = F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_\mu$ be a colored bounding surface for L, and suppose that F has m components*
and c intersection points. Then, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$, we have

$$|\sigma_L(\omega)| + |\eta_L(\omega) - m + 1| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \beta_1(F_i) + c.$$

Proof. Remove small 4-balls in the interior of D^4 on each component of F. With small enough balls, Σ will intersect the boundary spheres in unknots. Tubing the boundary spheres together, we have constructed a μ-colored cobordism Σ with m components between L and a μ-colored unlink L' of m components. Thanks to the results of Section 3.2, we can compute the signature and nullity of L' using C-complexes [CF08, Section 2]. We pick a disjoint union of m disks as a C-complex. The resulting generalized Seifert matrices are empty, yielding $\sigma_{L'}(\omega) = 0$ and $\eta_{L'}(\omega) = 0 + \beta_0(S) - 1 = m - 1$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$. Using Theorem 3.7 and Remark 3.8, we get

$$|\sigma_L(\omega)| + |\eta_L(\omega) - m + 1| \leq -\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \chi(\Sigma_i) + c = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} b_1(\Sigma_i) - m + c.$$

Now, if C is any of the m components of F, the corresponding component C' of Σ is obtained from C by removing a small disk, so that $\beta_1(C') = \beta_1(C) + 1$.

Summing over all the components, we get $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \beta_1(\Sigma_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \beta_1(F_i) + m$, whence the desired formula. □

Remark 3.15. Corollary 3.14 is a generalization of [CF08, Theorem 7.2]. In that paper, it is proven in the smooth setting and requires ω to be in the set $\mathbb{T}^{\mu}_{P}$, which is strictly smaller as $\mathbb{T}^{\mu}_{!}$, see Example 2.4. Since there all surfaces F_i are assumed to be connected, μ appears instead of m in their formula.

We can also recover a previous result [Pow17, Theorem 1.4] bounding the 4-genus of a 1-colored link L with m components. Consider disjoint surfaces $F_1, \dots, F_m$ in D^4 bounding L. Indeed, $F := F_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup F_m$ is a 1-colored bounding surface for L, and applying Corollary 3.14, we get

$$|\sigma_L(\omega)| + |\eta_L(\omega) - m + 1| \leq \beta_1(F) = 2g(F),$$

for $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^1_!$. The result follows by passing to the minimum over all such collections of surfaces and observing that $\mathbb{T}^1_!$ is dense in S^1.

Finally, note that Viro proves inequalities similar to Corollary 3.14 in any odd dimension. In particular, for links in S^3 he obtains $|\sigma_L(\omega)| + \eta_L(\omega) \leq \beta_2(F, L) + \beta_1(F)$ and $|\sigma_L(\omega)| + \eta_L(\omega) \leq \beta_1(F, L) + \beta_0(F)$, see [Vir09, Theorem 4.C]. Reworking his equations leads to the inequality

$$|\sigma_L(\omega)| + \eta_L(\omega) - m \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \beta_1(F_i) + c,$$

which is slightly weaker than Corollary 3.14. The interested reader will note that while Viro essentially obtains his results for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\mu}_{!}$, his methods are quite different from the chain homotopy argument we rely on, see [Vir09, Appendix C].

4. Plumbed 3–manifolds and surfaces in the 4–ball

In this section, we review plumbed 3-manifolds and prove a vanishing result for their signature defect, which is a key step in the proof of Theorem 1.5, see Section 5.4. In Section 4.1, we show this vanishing result in the case of product manifolds. To do so, we apply a product formula for the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer rho invariant, and pass from the smooth to the topological setting by using a bordism argument. In Section 4.2, we introduce the framework of plumbed 3-manifolds and prove the main result, which is contained in Proposition 4.10. Finally, in Section 4.3 we describe how plumbed 3-manifolds arise naturally from surfaces intersecting transversally in the 4-ball, and we perform a homological computation which is needed in Section 5.

4.1. The rho invariant of a product $\Sigma \times S^1$.

We consider the *rho invariant* $\rho(M, \alpha)$, a real number, in the special case of M being a smooth, odd dimensional manifold with a homomorphism $\alpha\colon H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to U(1)$ [APS75]. The definition of the rho invariant requires spectral analysis of elliptic differential operators on a manifold, and we will not attempt to recall it. Instead we state the following properties of $\rho(M, \alpha)$, which will be sufficient for the purposes of this article.

Proposition 4.1. (1) *If Z is a smooth $2n$-manifold together with a homomorphism $\alpha\colon H_1(Z; \mathbb{Z}) \to S^1$, then $\rho(\partial Z, \alpha) = -(\operatorname{sign}_{\alpha} Z - \operatorname{sign} Z)$.*

(2) *If Σ is a closed surface with $\alpha\colon H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \to S^1$, and S^1 comes with $\beta\colon H_1(S^1;\mathbb{Z}) \to S^1$, then*

$$\rho(\Sigma \times S^1, \alpha \otimes \beta) = \operatorname{sign}_\alpha \Sigma \cdot \rho(S^1, \beta) = \operatorname{sign} \Sigma \cdot \rho(S^1, \beta).$$

Proof. The first result is the specialisation to our setting of the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem, see [APS75, Theorem 2.4], while the second can be deduced from the definition and the classical Atiyah-Singer theorem. Both results can be found in [Neu79, Theorem 1.2, (iii) and (v)], where it has to be observed that the invariant considered by the author is minus the rho invariant. The fact that $\operatorname{sign}_\alpha \Sigma = \operatorname{sign} \Sigma$ follows from (1), or alternatively from the Hirzebruch signature formula, see Remark 2.8. □

We restrict further to manifolds M with a homomorphism $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. Since one-dimensional representations of $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ factoring through $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ are in bijection with values $\omega \in (S^1)^\mu$, we will denote by $\rho_\omega(M)$ the rho invariant corresponding to the representation α given by the composition

$$\alpha\colon H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu \xrightarrow{\omega} S^1.$$

Using Proposition 4.1, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *If Σ is a closed oriented connected surface and $\phi\colon H_1(\Sigma \times S^1;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ is a homomorphism, then $\rho_\omega(\Sigma \times S^1) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$.*

Proof. Since $H_1(\Sigma \times S^1;\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(S^1;\mathbb{Z})$, we may restrict $\phi\colon H_1(\Sigma \times S^1;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ to each summand. This produces maps $\phi_\Sigma\colon H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ and $\phi_{S^1}\colon H_1(S^1;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. Postcomposing each of these maps with the map $\mathbb{Z}^\mu \xrightarrow{\omega} S^1$ produces maps φ, φ_Σ and φ_{S^1}. Since these maps fit in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\Sigma \times S^1;\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\varphi\quad} & S^1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle pr_\Sigma \oplus pr_{S^1}} & & \cdot \uparrow \\ H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(S^1;\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\Sigma \times \varphi_{S^1}} & S^1 \times S^1, \end{array}$$

it follows that $\varphi = \varphi_\Sigma \otimes \varphi_{S^1}$. Using point (2) of Proposition 4.1, one obtains

$$\rho_\omega(\Sigma \times S^1) = \rho(\Sigma \times S^1, \varphi_\Sigma \otimes \varphi_{S^1}) = -\operatorname{sign}(\Sigma)\rho(S^1, \varphi_{S^1}).$$

Since the ordinary signature of a surface vanishes, we get $\rho_\omega(\Sigma \times S^1) = 0$ and the result is established. □

The following corollary is nearly immediate.

Corollary 4.3. *Let V be a set of closed oriented connected surfaces. If W is a 4-manifold over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ with boundary*

$$\partial W = \bigsqcup_{\Sigma \in V} \Sigma \times S^1,$$

then $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W - \operatorname{sign} W = 0$.

Proof. Thanks to point (1) of Proposition 4.1, the number $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W - \operatorname{sign} W$ coincides with minus the rho invariants of its boundary. By Lemma 4.2 and additivity of the rho invariant under disjoint union of manifolds [Neu79, Theorem 1.2.1], we get $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W - \operatorname{sign} W = 0$. □

Since Proposition 4.1 required the cobounding manifold to be smooth, one might worry about Corollary 4.3 only holding for smooth 4-manifolds W. The following remark deals with this issue.

Remark 4.4. Let W be a topological 4-manifold bounding $M = \bigsqcup_{\Sigma \in V} \Sigma \times S^1$. The bordism groups are computed in both the topological case and the smooth case by $\Omega_3(\mathbb{Z}^\mu) = H_3(\mathbb{Z}^\mu; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, if M bounds topologically, then there also exists a smooth filling W', for which the rho invariant computation gives $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W' - \operatorname{sign} W' = 0$. By Corollary 2.10, the difference between twisted and ordinary signature is the same for two 4-manifolds filling the same M over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$, so we conclude that $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W - \operatorname{sign} W$ is also zero as desired.

4.2. **Plumbings and their signature defect.** After reviewing the definition of a plumbed 3-manifold, we use the rho invariant to observe that if a 4-manifold W admits a balanced plumbed 3-manifold as its boundary, then its signature defect vanishes, see Proposition 4.10. Classical references on plumbed 3-manifolds include [Neu81, HNK71], see also [BFP16] for their use in our context.

We begin by setting up notation.

Construction 4.5. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an unoriented graph with no loops, where $s\colon E \to V$ and $t\colon E \to V$ are the source and the target maps and $i\colon E \to E$ is the involution of the edges, see e.g. [Ser80, Section I.2]. We shall sometimes also denote $i(e)$ by $\bar{e}$. Assume that the set of vertices V consists of oriented, connected and compact surfaces F and that the edges $e \in E$ are labeled by weights $\varepsilon(e) = \pm 1$.

Consider the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{F \in V} F \times S^1$ and pick a collection of disjoint discs $D_e \subset s(e)$ for each edge $e \in E$. Denote the complement of these discs in $F \in V$ by

$$F^\circ = F \setminus \bigcup_{s(e)=F} D_e$$

and consider the *plumbed* 3*-manifold*

$$\operatorname{Pb}(G) := \left(\bigsqcup_{F \in V} F^\circ \times S^1 \right) / \sim$$

where, for all $e \in E$ the identifications are given by

$$(-\partial D_e) \times S^1 \to (-\partial D_{i(e)}) \times S^1 \tag{4}$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto \begin{cases} (y^{-1}, x^{-1}), & \text{if } \varepsilon(e) = 1, \\ (y, x), & \text{if } \varepsilon(e) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Since these identifications make use of orientation reversing homeomorphisms, the 3-manifold $\operatorname{Pb}(G)$ carries an orientation which extends the orientation of each $F^\circ \times S^1$.

Remark 4.6. The orientation $-\partial D_e$ is the one obtained by considering the circle as a boundary component of F°. This is the opposite of the one induced by the boundary ∂D_e of the removed disk. In the general context of plumbing disk bundles, one trivializes over the removed disks, which causes the two formulas to flip, see [HNK71, Chapter 8 p.67].

The boundary of a plumbed 3-manifold $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$ is always toroidal and the components correspond to the boundary components of the surfaces $F \in V$. By construction, the boundary components come with the product structure $\partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G) = \bigsqcup_{F\in V} \partial F \times S^1$. We define the homology class $[\partial F] = [\partial F \times \{pt\}]$ in $H_1(\partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{Z})$.

In order to describe the kernel $H_1(\partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R})$, we introduce some more notation: for each surface $F \in V$ with boundary, label its boundary components $K_1, \dots, K_{n_F}$ and accordingly their meridians $\mu_1^F, \dots, \mu_{n_F}^F$ and longitudes $l_1^F, \dots, l_{n_F}^F$. We have the equality $[\partial F] = \sum_{k=1}^{n_F} [l_k^F]$. The following lemma describes the kernel of the inclusion $H_1(\partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R})$, which will be useful for our applications of Novikov-Wall additivity.

Lemma 4.7. *The kernel of the inclusion induced map $H_1(\partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R})$ is freely generated by the elements*

$$[\partial F] - \sum_{s(e)=F} \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{t(e)} \text{ and } \quad \mu_i^F - \mu_1^F,$$

for F varying over the elements in V with $\partial F \neq \emptyset$ and $2 \leq i \leq n_F$.

Proof. From the construction of $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$, we see that for every edge $e \in E$ there is a torus $-\partial D_e \times S^1 \subset s(e) \times S^1$ which is identified with $-\partial D_{\bar e} \times S^1 \subset t(e) \times S^1$. We denote this torus by $T_e \subset \mathrm{Pb}(G)$. Hence, $T_e = -T_{\bar e}$.

Now pick an orientation $E' \subset E$ on the edges, i.e. for every $e \in E$, exactly one of the edges e and $\bar e$ is an element of E'. From the construction of $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$, we obtain a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \to \bigoplus_{e\in E'} H_1(T_e;\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{i_t - i_s} \bigoplus_{F\in V} H_1(F^\circ \times S^1;\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{Z}) \to \dots,$$

where i_t, i_s denote the maps induced by the inclusions of T_e into $t(e) \times S^1$ and $s(e) \times S^1$ respectively. For each F, the inclusion $\partial F \times S^1 \to \mathrm{Pb}(G)$ factors through the space $\bigsqcup_{F\in V} F^\circ \times S^1$. Consequently, we have the commutative diagram of inclusion induced maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \displaystyle\bigoplus_{e\in E'} H_1(T_e;\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{i_t - i_s} & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{F\in V} H_1(F^\circ \times S^1;\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{h} & H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R}) \\ & & & \nwarrow^{f} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle j} \\ & & & & H_1(\partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R}), \end{array}$$

yielding $\ker j = \ker h \circ f = \{x \in H_1(\partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R}) \mid f(x) \in \operatorname{im} i_t - i_s\}$. We shall now restrict our attention to those surfaces F with $\partial F \neq \emptyset$, and prove that both $\mu_k^F - \mu_1^F$ and $[\partial F] - \sum_{s(e)=F} \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{t(e)}$ belong to this set. As F° is connected, all elements μ_k^F for $1 \leq k \leq n_F$ are equal in $H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R})$, so the elements $\mu_k^F - \mu_1^F$ are in $\ker f$ and a fortiori in $\ker j$. Next, we check that an element of the form $[\partial F] - \sum_{s(e)=F} \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{t(e)}$ is sent by f to the image of $i_s - i_t$. Note that $H_1(F^\circ \times S^1;\mathbb{R}) = H_1(F^\circ;\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}\langle \mu_1^F \rangle$, so that we have the relation $[\partial F] + \sum_{s(e)=F} [-\partial D_e] = 0$ in $H_1(F^\circ \times S^1;\mathbb{R})$. We thus obtain

$$f\Big([\partial F] - \sum_{s(e)=F} \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{t(e)}\Big) = \sum_{s(e)=F} \Big([\partial D_e] - \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{t(e)}\Big),$$

and the claim reduces to checking that this element is in the image of $i_t - i_s$. Consider the class $-[\partial D_e] \in H_1(T_e;\mathbb{Z})$. We have $-i_s[-\partial D_e] = [\partial D_e]$ and, by

the gluing map given in Construction 4.5, $i_t[-\partial D_e] = -\varepsilon(e)\mu_{t(e)}$. As a result, the difference $[\partial D_e] - \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{t(e)}$ is indeed in the image of $i_t - i_s$, and so $[\partial F] - \sum_{s(e)=F} \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{t(e)}$ is in $\ker j$.

Note that the elements in the statement of the lemma span a subspace U, whose dimension is the number of boundary components of $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$, i.e. it is half the dimension of the space $H_1(\partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{R})$. By the half lives, half dies principle [Lic97, Lemma 8.15], the kernel $\ker j$ has the same dimension as U and so coincides with U. □

Definition 4.8. For $v, w \in V$ denote by $E(v,w) = \{e \in E\colon s(e) = v, t(e) = w\}$ the set of all edges between v and w. A graph $G = (V,E)$ is *balanced* if for each pair $v \in V$ and $w \in V$ of distinct vertices the sum $\sum_{\substack{s(e)=v,\\ t(e)=v'}} \varepsilon(e)$ vanishes.

From now on, assume that our plumbed 3-manifold $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$ comes with a homomorphism $\phi\colon H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. We call such a homomorphism *meridional* if, for each constituting piece $F^\circ \times S^1 \subseteq \mathrm{Pb}(G)$ with $F \in V$, the restriction of ϕ to $H_1(F^\circ \times S^1;\mathbb{Z})$ sends the class of $\{pt\} \times S^1$ to one of the canonical generators $e_1, \dots, e_\mu$ of $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$. Moreover, in the next two results we will restrict our attention to plumbings of *closed* surfaces.

The next lemma shows that if G is balanced, then $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$ is cobordant to a disjoint union of trivial surface bundles, where the cobordism has vanishing signature defect.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $G = (V,E)$ be a balanced graph with vertices closed connected surfaces. Suppose that $\phi\colon H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G);\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ is a meridional homomorphism. Then, there exists a smooth 4-manifold Z over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ such that:*

1. *the boundary of Z is a disjoint union*
$$\partial Z = -\,\mathrm{Pb}(G) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{F\in V} \Sigma_F \times S^1,$$
where Σ_F is a closed surface obtained from $F \in V$ by adding 1-handles;
2. *the restriction $H_1(\bigsqcup_{F\in V} \Sigma_F \times S^1;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ is meridional;*
3. *$\mathrm{dsign}_\omega Z = 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$.*

Proof. Instead of proving the statement directly, we prove the following: if E is nonempty, then there exists a balanced graph $G' = (V', E')$ whose vertices are surfaces obtained from the vertices of V by adding 1-handles; the graph G' has fewer edges than G; furthermore there exists a manifold $Z_{G'}$ over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ with $\partial Z = -\,\mathrm{Pb}(G) \sqcup \mathrm{Pb}(G')$, which induces a meridional homomorphism on $\mathrm{Pb}(G')$. The signature defect of $Z_{G'}$ is zero.

The original statement can be recovered as follows: iterate the above to obtain a sequence of graphs $G = G_0, \dots, G_n$ such that the set of edges of G_n is empty. Consequently, $\mathrm{Pb}(G_n) = \bigsqcup_{F\in V} \Sigma_F \times S^1$ and Σ_F is obtained from surfaces of the original graph by adding 1-handles. We then glue the 4-manifolds together: $Z := Z_{G_1} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{G_n}$. We get $\partial Z = -\,\mathrm{Pb}(G) \sqcup \mathrm{Pb}(G_n)$ as required and by Novikov additivity $\mathrm{dsign}_\omega Z = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{dsign}_\omega Z_{G_i} = 0$.

Now we proceed with the proof of the modified statement. Recall from Construction 4.5 that to each edge e corresponds the embedded torus $T_e = (-\partial D_e) \times S^1$. The complement of all of these tori is diffeomorphic to $\bigsqcup_{F\in V} F^\circ \times S^1 \subset \mathrm{Pb}(G)$. In

order to produce the desired 4-manifold Z, our aim is to attach a $D^2 \times T^2$ to the trivial bordism $\mathrm{Pb}(G) \times I$.

Given two vertices $F_1, F_2 \in V$, we write $E(F_1, F_2) = \{e \in E \colon s(e) = F_1, t(e) = F_2\}$ as in Definition 4.8. Pick two vertices $F_1, F_2 \in V$ such that $E(F_1, F_2)$ is nonempty. As the graph is balanced, this implies we can also pick two edges $e, e' \in E(F_1, F_2)$ such that $\varepsilon(e) = 1$ and $\varepsilon(e') = -1$. Now set $X_{e,e'} := I \times I \times S^1 \times S^1$. Consider the corresponding tori $T_e = (-\partial D_e) \times S^1$ and $T_{e'} = (-\partial D_{e'}) \times S^1$, with oriented neighborhoods $I \times T_e$, $I \times T_{e'}$. We attach $X_{e,e'}$ to $\mathrm{Pb}(G) \times \{1\}$ along its vertical boundaries through a homeomorphism f given by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} \times I \times S^1 \times S^1 &\to I \times (-\partial D_e) \times S^1 & \{1\} \times I \times S^1 \times S^1 &\to I \times (-\partial D_{e'}) \times S^1 \\ (0, t, x, y) &\mapsto (t, x, y), & (1, t, x, y) &\mapsto (t, x^{-1}, y). \end{aligned}$$

The induced orientations on $\{0,1\} \times I \times S^1 \times S^1$ are such that the above map is orientation-reversing. As a consequence, the orientations of $\mathrm{Pb}(G) \times I$ and $X_{e,e'}$ extend to the resulting 4-manifold

$$Z := X_{e,e'} \cup_f \mathrm{Pb}(G) \times I.$$

Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ the images of the meridians of F_1 and F_2 under the map $H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G); \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. Recalling the construction of $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$ given in (4), we see that the induced maps to $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ on T_e and $T_{e'}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(-\partial D_e \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) &\to \mathbb{Z}^\mu & H_1(-\partial D_{e'} \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) &\to \mathbb{Z}^\mu \\ [\{p\} \times S^1] &\mapsto a_1 & [\{p\} \times S^1] &\mapsto a_1 \\ [-\partial D_e \times \{p\}] &\mapsto a_2 & [-\partial D_{e'} \times \{p\}] &\mapsto -a_2. \end{aligned}$$

The difference in the sign of the image $[-\partial D_e \times \{p\}]$ is a consequence of the fact that the edges e, e' had opposite signs. This allows us to define a map $\phi_X \colon H_1(X_{e,e'}; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ which glues with the map $\phi \colon H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G); \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\{0,1\} \times I \times S^1 \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\quad f_* \quad} & H_1(I \times T_e; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(I \times T'_e; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & {\scriptstyle \phi_X} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \phi} & \\ & \mathbb{Z}^\mu. & \end{array}$$



FIGURE 2. The effect of attaching $X_{e,e'}$ to $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$ depicted in reduced dimensions

By making an additional choice of a splitting of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$H_1(X_{e,e'};\mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G)\times I;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(Z;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_0(\{0,1\}\times I\times T^2;\mathbb{Z}),$$

we obtain a map $H_1(Z;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ which extends ϕ and ϕ_X on $H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G)\times I;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(X_{e,e'};\mathbb{Z})$.

The boundary of Z has two components. The bottom boundary is $-\mathrm{Pb}(G)$. The effect of adding $X_{e,e'}$ on the top boundary is that of cutting along T_e and $T_{e'}$ and gluing together the boundary component $-D_e\times S^1$ to $-D_{e'}\times S^1$ and on the other surface $-D_{i(e)}\times S^1$ to $-D_{i(e')}\times S^1$. This is the same as adding 1-handles to F_1 and F_2 and, consequently, the top boundary inherits a plumbed structure with modified F_1 and F_2 and the edges e and e' removed from the set of edges.

We have verified that Z fulfills the first statement. To conclude the proof of the proposition, it remains to prove that $\mathrm{dsign}_\omega Z = 0$. This is a consequence of the following claim.

Claim. The twisted and untwisted signature of $\mathrm{Pb}(G)\times I$ and $X_{e,e'}$ vanish and Novikov-Wall additivity holds when gluing these two pieces together.

To prove that the signatures vanish, note that both spaces are 4-manifolds W with the property that the inclusions of the boundary $H_2(\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(\partial W;\mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$ surject. This implies that both the twisted and untwisted intersection forms vanish. In particular, the twisted and untwisted signatures of $\mathrm{Pb}(G)\times I$ and $X_{e,e'}$ are zero.

Next, we consider Novikov-Wall additivity. We are gluing $W_+ = X_{e,e'}$ to $W_- = \mathrm{Pb}(G)\times I$ along $Y = \nu T_e \sqcup \nu T_{e'} \subset \mathrm{Pb}(G)\times\{1\}$. The boundary of the gluing region is given by the four tori

$$-\partial D_e\times S^1 \sqcup -\partial D_{i(e)}\times S^1 \sqcup -\partial D_{e'}\times S^1 \sqcup -\partial D_{i(e')}\times S^1.$$

Let $hX = I\times\{0,1\}\times S^1\times S^1$ denote the horizontal boundary of $X_{e,e'}$. Two of the relevant kernels for the Maslov index are $K = \ker H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(hX;\mathbb{R})$ and $K' = \ker H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G)\setminus Y;\mathbb{R})$. We prove that these two kernels agree, hence the Maslov index is zero and additivity of the signature defect holds.

Observing the gluing maps above, we see that the kernel K admits the basis

$$[-\partial D_e]+[-\partial D_{e'}], [S^1_e]-[S^1_{e'}], \quad [-\partial D_{i(e)}]+[-\partial D_{i(e')}], [S^1_{i(e)}]-[S^1_{i(e')}]. \tag{5}$$

In order to compute the other kernel K' into $H_1(\mathrm{Pb}(G)\setminus Y;\mathbb{R})$, observe that $\mathrm{Pb}(G)\setminus Y$ also inherits a plumbed structure from $\mathrm{Pb}(G)$. It has the same surfaces as vertex set with F_1 and F_2 replaced by $F_1\setminus(D_e\cup D_{e'})$ and $F_2\setminus(D_{i(e)}\cup D_{i(e')})$. Its set of edges is obtained by removing e and e' from the set of edges of G. Note that $\Sigma = \partial\,\mathrm{Pb}(G)\setminus Y$ and we can use Lemma 4.7 to obtain a basis of its kernel. The difference of meridians give the basis elements $[S^1_e]-[S^1_{e'}], [S^1_{i(e)}]-[S^1_{i(e')}] \in K'$. The surface F_1 has boundary $-\partial D_e \sqcup -\partial D_{e'}$ and so

$$[-\partial D_e]+[-\partial D_{e'}] - \sum_{s(k)=v}\varepsilon(k)\mu_k = [-\partial D_e]+[-\partial D_{e'}] \in K',$$

are further elements of the basis, where the first equality uses that G is balanced. The analogous statements holds for the other surface F_2. Consequently, the kernel K' admits the same basis (5) and as a result $K' = K$. In particular, Theorem 2.11 applies with vanishing Maslov index, and the untwisted signature is additive.

For the twisted signature, thanks to Proposition 2.12, it is enough to prove that the twisted homology vanishes for Σ. This happens exactly if the induced $U(1)$-representation is nontrivial. This is the case, because ϕ is meridional and the coefficients of ω are taken to be different from 1. Consequently, the signature defect is additive and so

$$\operatorname{dsign}_\omega Z = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega \operatorname{Pb}(G) \times I + \operatorname{dsign}_\omega X_{e,e'} = 0.$$

□

Using Lemma 4.9, we can prove our main result about plumbed manifolds.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a balanced graph with vertices closed connected surfaces F. Suppose that $\phi\colon H_1(\operatorname{Pb}(G); \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ is a meridional homomorphism and that $\operatorname{Pb}(G)$ bounds a 4-manifold W over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$. Then, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu$,*

$$\operatorname{sign}_\omega W - \operatorname{sign} W = 0.$$

Proof. Since the graph is balanced, Lemma 4.9 produces closed surfaces Σ_F and a 4-manifold Z over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ whose signature defect vanishes, with boundary

$$\partial Z = -\operatorname{Pb}(G) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{F \in V} \Sigma_F \times S^1.$$

One can now define $P := W \cup_{\operatorname{Pb}(G)} Z$. Since the boundary of P consists in a disjoint union of $\Sigma_F \times S^1$, Corollary 4.3 guaranties that $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega P = 0$. As we are gluing along a boundary component, Theorem 2.11 goes through with no Maslov index. It follows that $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega P = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega W + \operatorname{dsign}_\omega Z$. Since we know that both $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega P$ and $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega Z$ vanish, $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega W$ also vanishes. □

4.3. **Surfaces in the 4–ball.** In the remainder of the paper, plumbed 3-manifolds will mostly appear as boundaries of tubular neighborhoods of collections of surfaces in the 4-ball. We focus here on this concrete framework and show that the Maslov index of three vector spaces arising naturally in this setting is zero, see Corollary A.5.

We observe that the exterior of a bounding surface contains a plumbed 3-manifold in its boundary.

Definition 4.11. The *intersection graph* (V, E) *of a bounding surface* $F = F_1 \cup \ldots \cup F_m$ has the vertices set $V = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$. The set of edges E consists of triples $e = (x, F_i, F_j)$ where x is an intersection point between the components $F_i, F_j \in V$. The maps s, t, i are defined on e by

$$s(e) = F_i \quad t(e) = F_j \quad i(e) = (x, F_j, F_i).$$

Moreover, we assign a weight $\varepsilon(e) = \pm 1$ to each edge $e = (x, F_i, F_j)$ corresponding to the sign of the intersection at the point x.

Our interest in plumbed 3-manifold essentially lies in the next example.

Example 4.12. Let $F \subset D^4$ be a bounding surface for a link L. The boundary of the exterior $W_F = D^4 \setminus \nu F$ decomposes into $\partial W_F = X_L \cup_{L \times S^1} M_F$. Plumbing the trivialized disk bundles $F_i \times D^2$ by the intersection graph of F describes a neighborhood νF of F. In this model, the surfaces F_i are recovered as the zero sections $F_i \times \{0\}$ [HNK71, Chapter 8]. As consequence, we see that M_F is diffeomorphic to $\operatorname{Pb}(G)$, where G is the intersection graph of F.

Let $F = F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_m$ be a bounding surface for a link L, and let L_i be the sublink given by ∂F_i, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Denote as usual the exterior of F by W_F. Recall from Example 4.12 that $\partial W_F = X_L \cup_{L \times S^1} M_F$, where M_F is a plumbed 3-manifold. Enumerate the components of L_i and denote their meridians by $\mu_k^{L_i}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n_{L_i}$, where n_{L_i} is the number of components of L_i. The following computation will turn out to be useful when applying Novikov-Wall additivity. Note that we are using the convention according to which $\mathrm{lk}(L_i, L_i) = 0$.

Lemma 4.13. *The vector space* $\ker M_F = \ker H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{R}) \to H_1(\partial W_F; \mathbb{R})$ *is generated by the elements of the form*

$$[L_i] - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{lk}(L_i, L_j)\mu_1^{L_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_k^{L_i} - \mu_1^{L_i}.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.7, the component F_i gives rise to the basis vectors

$$[L_i] - \sum_{s(e)=F_i} \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{\partial t(e)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_k^{L_i} - \mu_1^{L_i}.$$

The result follows by observing that

$$\sum_{s(e)=F_i} \varepsilon(e)\mu_1^{\partial t(e)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (F_i \cdot F_j)\mu_1^{L_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{lk}(L_i, L_j)\mu_1^{L_j}.$$

□

5. Invariance by 1-solvable cobordisms

The aim of this section is to prove that the multivariable signature and nullity are invariant under 1-solvable cobordism. Section 5.1 reviews the notion of an n-solvable cobordism. Section 5.2 tackles the invariance of the nullity. Section 5.3 proves some technical lemmas which are needed in Section 5.4 to complete the proof of the signature invariance.

5.1. Solvable cobordisms.

We review here the notion of n-solvable cobordism due to Cha [Cha14]. For simplicity, we content ourselves with integral solvability and avoid defining the related concept of $n.5$-solvable cobordism.

A *cobordism* $(W; M, M', \varphi)$ between two 3-manifolds M, M' with a preferred orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi\colon \partial M \to \partial M'$ is a compact 4-manifold W with a decomposition $\partial W \cong -M \cup_\varphi M'$. We will often supress φ from the notation. A cobordism $(W; M, M')$ is an *H_1-cobordism* if additionally the inclusions of M and M' into W induce isomorphisms $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{\cong} H_1(M'; \mathbb{Z})$.

We start by recalling some well-known facts about H_1-cobordisms.

Lemma 5.1. *If $(W; M, M')$ is an H_1-cobordism, then the following statements hold:*

1. *$H_i(W, M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 = H_i(W, M'; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $i \neq 2$.*
2. *The groups $H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(W, M'; \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic and free abelian.*

(3) *Denote by* $k\colon H_2(\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})$ *the map induced by the inclusion. There exists a unique map* $\varphi\colon H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})/\operatorname{im} k$ *such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})/\operatorname{im} k & \longrightarrow & H_2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow & \overset{\varphi}{\nwarrow} & \uparrow \\ H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

is commutative. The map φ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since the first two assertions can be found in [Cha14, Lemma 2.20], we only show here the third one. As a first step, we show that the map $i\colon H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z})$ arising from the long exact sequence of the triple $(W,\partial W,M)$ is an injection. To prove this, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \operatorname{Hom}(H_1(W;\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}(H_1(M';\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}) & & & & \\ \cong\uparrow \text{ev} & & \cong\uparrow \text{ev} & & & & \\ H^1(W;\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{f} & H^1(M';\mathbb{Z}) & & & & \\ & & \cong\downarrow \text{PD} & & & & \\ \cong\downarrow \text{PD} & & H_2(M',\partial M';\mathbb{Z}) & & & & \\ & & \cong\downarrow \text{exc} & & & & \\ H_3(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(\partial W,M;\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{i} & H_2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

where exc denotes excision. The upper square clearly commutes, while the pentagon commutes by [Bre93, Section VI.6, Problem 3]. Since $(W;M,M')$ is an H_1-cobordism, the uppermost horizontal map is an isomorphism. Consequently, the map f is an isomorphism and therefore so is the map $H_3(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(\partial W,M;\mathbb{Z})$. Exactness now implies that $i\colon H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z})$ is injective.

As a second step, we show existence and uniqueness of $\varphi\colon H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \frac{H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})}{\operatorname{im} k}$. The portion

$$H_2(\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{k} H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j} H_2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_1(\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\ell} H_1(W;\mathbb{Z})$$

of the long exact sequence of the pair $(W,\partial W)$ produces the short exact sequence in the top row of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})}{\operatorname{im} k} & \xrightarrow{j} & H_2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \ker \ell & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & \overset{\varphi}{\nwarrow} & \uparrow i & & \uparrow & & \\ & & H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \ker H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(W;\mathbb{Z}). & & \end{array}$$

Since $(W;M,M')$ is an H_1-cobordism, the group $\ker H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(W;\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes. Consequently, given $x \in H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z})$, the composition $i(\partial(x))$ is zero and so, by exactness, there exists $[y] \in \frac{H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})}{\operatorname{im} k}$ such that $j([y]) = i(x)$. We therefore define $\varphi(x) := [y]$. As j is injective, φ is well-defined. By construction $\varphi j = i$.

Next, we show that φ is an isomorphism. Injectivity is immediate from the diagram above and the fact that i is injective. As $\ker H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(W;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$,

we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})/\operatorname{im} k & \longrightarrow & H_2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow\!\!\uparrow & \nwarrow^{\varphi} & \uparrow \\ H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \end{array},$$

which shows the surjectivity of φ. □

Let $(W;M,M')$ be an H_1-cobordism. Recall from Example 2.7 that $\pi^{(n)}$ denotes the n-th derived subgroup of $\pi := \pi_1(W)$ and that there is an intersection form

$$\lambda_n\colon H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}]) \times H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}]) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}]$$

which coincides with the ordinary intersection form when $n = 0$. Following Cha [Cha14, Definition 2.8], we recall the following definition, which is inspired by Cochran-Orr-Teichner's work [COT03].

Definition 5.2. An H_1-cobordism $(W;M,M')$ is an *n-solvable cobordism* if there exists a submodule $L = \langle l_1,\dots,l_r\rangle \subset H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}])$ together with homology classes $d_1,\dots,d_r \in H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}])$ which satisfy the following properties:

(1) the intersection form λ_n vanishes on L and the relation $\lambda_n(l_i,d_j) = \delta_{ij}$ holds for each i,j;
(2) the image of L under the composition $H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(n)}]) \to H_2(W;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z})$ has half rank;
(3) the restriction of the intersection form λ_0 to the image of L in $H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.

We shall sometimes refer to the submodule L as an *n-Lagrangian* and to the classes $d_1,\dots,d_r$ as *n-duals*. It is worth noting that these notions are defined and studied in much greater generality in [Cha14, Section 2]. For further reference, we make note of the following result, whose proof is outlined in [Cha14, proof of Theorem 3.2].

Proposition 5.3. *If an H_1-cobordism W admits a 0-Lagrangian, then the ordinary signature* $\operatorname{sign} W = 0$ *vanishes.*

Proof. Let $\varphi\colon H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(W;\mathbb{Z})/\operatorname{im} H_2(\partial W;\mathbb{Z})$ be the isomorphism of Lemma 5.1. By the definition of a 1-solvable cobordism, the subspace $\varphi(L)$ gives rise to a Lagrangian of the non-singular intersection pairing $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of W. Consequently, the signature $\operatorname{sign} W$ vanishes. □

The next definition is an adaptation to the colored framework of the one given by Cha [Cha14]. Recall that the boundary $L\times S^1 = \partial X_L$ of a link exterior X_L inherits a product structure by longitudes and meridians. A bijection σ of the link components of two links L, L' induces an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi_\sigma\colon L \times S^1 \to L' \times S^1$ preserving the product structures, which is unique up to isotopy.

Definition 5.4. Two colored links L, L' are *n-solvable cobordant* if there exists a bijection σ between the components of L and of L which preserves the colors and there is an n-solvable cobordism $(W;X_L,X'_L,\varphi_\sigma)$.

Remark 5.5. Suppose that two μ-colored links L and L' with m-components are n-solvable cobordant. Recall from Section 3.1 that the link exterior X_L of a colored

link L is equipped with a homomorphism $\beta_L\colon H_1(X_L;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. An n-solvable cobordism between the links fits into a commutative diagram

(6)


where $\mathbb{Z}^m$ is indexed by the link components and $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ by the colors. The maps $H_1(X_L;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $H_1(X_{L'};\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^m$ send each meridian to the corresponding unit vector, and the map $\mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ is induced by sending a link component to its color. Furthermore, the links L and L' have the same set of pairwise linking numbers. In fact, let $l \in H_1(X_L;\mathbb{Z})$ be the longitude of some component K of L, and let $l' \in H_1(X_{L'};\mathbb{Z})$ be the longitude of the corresponding component $K' = \sigma(K)$ of L'. Since the longitudes are glued together, we have $i(l) = i'(l')$ in Diagram (6). But the linking numbers of K and K' with the other components of L and L' respectively are nothing but the coordinates of the images of l and l' in $\mathbb{Z}^m$. Commutativity of the diagram tells us that they coincide.

Remark 5.6. If L and L' are concordant, then they are n-solvable cobordant for each n: note first that a concordance gives a bijection σ between the link components of L and the ones of L' by following the annuli. If W_C is the exterior of the concordance C, we have $\partial W_C \cong X_L \cup_{\varphi_\sigma} X_{L'}$, and the inclusion maps give rise to isomorphisms $H_*(X_L;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(W_C;\mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{\cong} H_*(X_{L'};\mathbb{Z})$. Since the relative groups $H_2(W_C, X_L;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(W_C, X_L;\mathbb{Z})$ vanish, the remaining conditions in the definition of an n-solvable cobordism are vacuous.

5.2. **Nullities and 1-solvability.** The aim of this part is to show that 1-solvable cobordant links have the same multivariable nullity. This proves half of Theorem 1.5 from the introduction.

As in Section 2.1, for a pair (X, Y) we denote by $\beta_i(X, Y)$ the rank of $H_i(X, Y;\mathbb{Z})$ and by $\beta_i^\omega(X, Y)$ the dimension of $H_i(X, Y;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$. Recall that for an n-solvable cobordism $(W; M, M')$, we abbreviate $\pi_1(W)$ by π.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $(W; M, M')$ be a 1-solvable cobordism equipped with a homomorphism $\pi/\pi^{(1)} \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$. Then both $H_i(W, M;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_i(W, M;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$ vanish for $i \neq 2$ and for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$. In particular, $\beta_2^\omega(W, M)$ is equal to $\beta_2(W, M)$.*

Proof. Since W is an H_1-cobordism, one gets $H_i(W, M;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. Since $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$, Lemma 2.14 implies that $H_i(W, M;\mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. In the untwisted case, for $i = 3, 4$, applying duality and the universal coefficient theorem yields $H_i(W, M;\mathbb{Z}) = H^{4-i}(W, M';\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_{4-i}(W, M';\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. In the twisted case, the same conclusion follows by combining Lemma 2.3 with the fact that $H_i(W, M;\mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. The last claim now follows since the Euler characteristic of (W, M) may be computed with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients or $\mathbb{C}^\omega$-coefficients. □

Next, we use both the 1-Lagrangian and the 1-duals to produce a subspace of $H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$ on which the intersection form $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^\omega}$ defined in Section 2.2 vanishes.

Lemma 5.8. *Given a 1-solvable cobordism $(W; M, M')$ equipped with a homomorphism $\pi/\pi^{(1)} \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$, there exist subspaces $L_\mathbb{C}, D_\mathbb{C} \subset H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ such that*

1. *The intersection form $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^\omega}$ vanishes on $L_\mathbb{C}$.*
2. *The dimensions of $L_\mathbb{C}$ and $D_\mathbb{C}$ are equal to $r := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank} H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z})$.*
3. *$L_\mathbb{C}$ and $D_\mathbb{C}$ are respectively generated by classes $l'_1, \dots, l'_r$ and $d'_1, \dots, d'_r$ which satisfy $\lambda_\mathbb{C}(l'_i, d'_j) = \delta_{ij}$.*

Proof. We first claim that the $l_1, \dots, l_r$ freely generate the 1-Lagrangian of W. Since W is a 1-Lagrangian there exist 1-duals $d_1, \dots, d_r$ satisfying $\lambda_1(l_i, d_j) = \delta_{ij}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i l_i = 0$, then applying $\lambda_1(-, d_j)$ proves that a_j vanishes for each j, showing the linear independence of the l_i. Next, the composition $\alpha\colon \pi/\pi^{(1)} \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to a map $\alpha_*\colon H_*(W; \mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(1)}]) \to H_*(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ on homology and we set $L_\mathbb{C} := \alpha_*(L)$. We abbreviate $\mathbb{Z}[\pi/\pi^{(1)}]$ with Λ. Since the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_2(W;\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W, \partial W; \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^2(W;\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(H_2(W;\Lambda), \Lambda) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_*} \\
H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W, \partial W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & H^2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega), \mathbb{C}),
\end{array}$$

we deduce that $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^\omega}(\alpha_*(x), \alpha_*(y)) = \lambda_1(x, y)$. From this it follows that the elements $l'_i = \alpha_*(l_i)$ and $d'_i = \alpha_*(d_i)$ are dual for $1 \le i \le r$, showing the last property. Using the previous argument, we see that they freely span $L_\mathbb{C}$ and $D_\mathbb{C} := \alpha_*(D)$ and so both have dimension r. Since λ_1 vanishes on L, it also follows that $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^\omega}$ vanishes on $L_\mathbb{C}$. □

We are now ready to prove the invariance of the nullity under 1-solvable cobordism.

Proposition 5.9. *If two μ-colored links L and L' are 1-solvable cobordant, then*

$$\eta_{L_1}(\omega) = \eta_{L_2}(\omega)$$

holds for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.

Proof. Recall from Definition 3.2 that the nullity $\eta_L(\omega)$ is equal to the dimension of $H_1(X_L; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$, and the same for L'. To prove the proposition, we shall show that $H_1(X_L; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \cong H_1(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \cong H_1(X_{L'}; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$. Define M to be either X_L or $X_{L'}$, and let r be the rank of $H_2(W, M; \mathbb{Z})$. Since we proved in Lemma 5.7 that $H_1(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$, the long exact sequence of the pair (W, M) gives

$$H_2(M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to 0.$$

Consequently, to prove the statement, it is enough to show that the map $H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ is surjective. Let $L_\mathbb{C}$ and $D_\mathbb{C}$ be the $r/2$-dimensional vector subspaces of $H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ provided by Lemma 5.8. By the intersection properties of the vectors in $L_\mathbb{C} \oplus D_\mathbb{C}$, one can check that the space $L_\mathbb{C} \oplus D_\mathbb{C}$ intersects trivially the image of $H_2(M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$. By Lemma 5.7 the space $H_2(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ has dimension r, which is also the dimension of $L_\mathbb{C} \oplus D_\mathbb{C} \subset H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$. Consequently, the map $H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ must be surjective. This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

5.3. **Technical lemmas.** We collect here some technical lemmas needed to prove the invariance of the signature under 1-solvable cobordism. More precisely, we use various arguments from homological algebra in order to estimate the dimension of the space $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by Lemma 5.8.

We start by computing some twisted Betti numbers.

Lemma 5.10. *If two μ-colored links L and L' are 1-solvable cobordant via W, then for $i = 1, 2$ and for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$*

(1) $\beta^\omega_1(X_L) = \beta^\omega_2(X_{L'})$,
(2) $\beta^\omega_1(\partial W) = \beta^\omega_2(\partial W)$,
(3) $\beta^\omega_2(W, \partial W) = \beta^\omega_2(W, X_L) + \beta^\omega_3(W, \partial W) = \beta^\omega_2(W, X_{L'}) + \beta^\omega_3(W, \partial W)$.

Proof. Set $M := X_L$ and $M' := X_{L'}$ and note that since M is a link exterior, its Euler characteristic vanishes. Since $\beta^\omega_0(M)$ and $\beta^\omega_3(M)$ vanish, the first statement follows immediately from the equality $\chi^\omega(M) = \chi(M) = 0$. Arguing as in Lemma 3.10, one deduces that $\beta^\omega_i(\partial W) = \beta^\omega_i(M) + \beta^\omega_i(M')$. As the nullity is invariant under 1-solvable cobordism thanks to Proposition 5.2, the second statement now follows from the first. We now turn to the third statement. As we showed in Lemma 5.7 that $H_3(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$, the long exact sequence of the triple $(W, \partial W, M)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H_3(W, \partial W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(\partial W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W, \partial W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to \\ \to H_1(\partial W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(W, \partial W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.7 implies that $H_1(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ vanishes and consequently so does $H_1(W, \partial W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$. Since the alternating sum of dimensions of an exact sequence vanishes, one gets

$$\beta^\omega_2(W, \partial W) = \beta^\omega_2(W, M) + \beta^\omega_3(W, \partial W) + \beta^\omega_1(\partial W, M) - \beta^\omega_2(\partial W, M),$$

and so the third statement reduces to proving the equality $\beta^\omega_1(\partial W, M) = \beta^\omega_2(\partial W, M)$. To achieve this, consider the long exact sequence of the pair $(\partial W, M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H_2(M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(\partial W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(\partial W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \\ \to H_1(M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(\partial W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(\partial W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $H_3(\partial W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$ because of the long exact sequence of $(W, \partial W, M)$ together with the fact that $H_3(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$, see Lemma 5.7. Using the vanishing of the alternate sum of dimensions, the desired equality now follows by combining the first two statements. □

Next, we prove an inequality on the twisted Betti numbers of a 1-solvable cobordism.

Lemma 5.11. *If two μ-colored links L and L' are 1-solvable concordant via W, then*

$$\beta^\omega_3(W, \partial W) - \beta^\omega_1(\partial W) + \beta^\omega_1(W) \leq 0$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, one gets $\beta^\omega_3(W, \partial W) = \beta^\omega_1(W)$. Setting $M := X_L$ and $M' := X_{L'}$ and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.10 and using Proposition 5.2, we see that $\beta^\omega_1(\partial W) = 2\beta^\omega_1(M)$. Since Lemma 5.7 implies that $H_1(W, M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) = 0$, the map $H_1(M; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ is surjective, and so $\beta^\omega_1(W) - \beta^\omega_1(M) \leq 0$. Combining these facts, $\beta^\omega_3(W, \partial W) - \beta^\omega_1(\partial W) + \beta^\omega_1(W)$ is equal to $2(\beta^\omega_1(W) - \beta^\omega_1(M)) \leq 0$, as desired. □

Finally, we estimate the dimension of the space which supports the twisted intersection form.

Proposition 5.12. *Assume two μ-colored links L and L' are 1-solvable cobordant via W. The subspace $L_{\mathbb{C}} \subset H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$ of Proposition 5.8 satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)}{\operatorname{im} H_2(\partial W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)\to H_2(W;\mathbb{C})}\right) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.

Proof. Invoking Lemma 5.8, the dimension of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equal to half the rank of $H_2(W,M;\mathbb{Z})$. Using Lemma 5.7, $\beta_2^\omega(W,M) = \beta_2(W,M)$, and so the proposition reduces to showing the inequality

$$d := \dim\left(\frac{H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)}{\operatorname{im} H_2(\partial W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)\to H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)}\right) \leq \beta_2^\omega(W,M).$$

Set $V := \operatorname{im} H_2(\partial W;\mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$. Since we proved in Lemma 5.7 that $H_1(W,\partial W;\mathbb{C}^\omega)$ vanishes, the long exact sequence of the pair $(W,\partial W)$ now takes the form

$$0 \to V \to H_2(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(\partial W;\mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_1(W;\mathbb{C}^\omega) \to 0.$$

Finally, using the fact that the alternating dimensions of an exact sequence sum up to zero, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \beta_2^\omega(W,\partial W) - \beta_1^\omega(\partial W) + \beta_1^\omega(W) \\ &= \beta_2^\omega(W,M) + \beta_3^\omega(W,\partial W) - \beta_1^\omega(\partial W) + \beta_1^\omega(W) \\ &\leq \beta_2^\omega(W,M), \end{aligned}$$

where the last two steps use respectively Lemma 5.10 and Lemma 5.11. □

5.4. **Signatures and 1-solvability.** We prove that 1-solvable cobordant links have the same multivariable signatures, concluding the proof of Theorem 1.5 from the introduction.

Theorem 5.13. *If two μ-colored links L and L' are 1-solvable cobordant, then*

$$\sigma_L(\omega) = \sigma_{L'}(\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\mu_!$.

Proof. Let $F, F' \subset D^4$ be colored bounding surfaces for L and L' respectively, with the additional requirement that they have only a single component per color. We denote by W_F and $W_{F'}$ their respective exteriors. Setting as usual $M_F := \partial\overline{\nu F}$, we see that the boundary ∂W_F decomposes into $X_L \cup_{L\times S^1} M_F$. An analogous decomposition holds for $\partial W_{F'}$. Given a 1-solvable cobordism W, we consider the 4-manifold

$$V := W_F \cup_X W \cup_{X'} W_{F'},$$

which has boundary $M_F \cup_\Sigma M_{F'}$, where Σ is a disjoint union of tori. By the diagram (6), the coefficient systems on the link exteriors X and X' extend over W and thus over V. We shall now compute $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(V) = \operatorname{sign}_\omega V - \operatorname{sign} V$ in two different ways.

Claim. $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(V) = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W_F) - \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W_{F'}) + \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W)$.

To prove the claim, the first step is to establish the equality $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(V) = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W_F) + \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W \cup_{X'} W_{F'})$. For this consider V as the union of W_F with $W \cup_{X'} W_{F'}$ along X. Since $H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ vanishes, the twisted additivity follows immediately from Proposition 2.12. To deal with the untwisted signature, we need to consider the kernels

$$\begin{aligned}\ker M_F &= \ker H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(M_F; \mathbb{Z}),\\ \ker M_{F'} &= \ker H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(M_{F'}; \mathbb{Z}),\\ \ker X &= \ker H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

As L and L' are 1-solvable concordant, they have the same pairwise linking numbers between their components, see Remark 5.5. Since we assumed that F and F' have exactly one component for each color they can be seen as bounding surfaces in the uncolored sense, and applying Lemma 4.13 to the two links shows that $\ker M_F = \ker M_{F'}$. As a consequence, we have $\operatorname{Maslov}(\ker M_F, \ker M_{F'}, \ker X) = 0$, and Theorem 2.11 yields $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(V) = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W_F) + \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W \cup_{X'} W_{F'})$.

To prove the claim, it remains to show that $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W \cup_{X'} W_{F'}) = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W) - \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W_{F'})$. Consider the two kernels $\ker H_1(L' \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\ker H_1(L' \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(X'; \mathbb{Z})$ involved in Novikov-Wall additivity. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_1(L' \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}) & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_1(X'; \mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

where the isomorphism is given by the H_1-cobordism W. It follows that the two kernels agree and thus the Novikov-Wall additivity of Theorem 2.11 goes through with no Maslov index. Once again, the twisted additivity follows from immediately from Proposition 2.12. This completes the proof of the claim.

We now show that $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(V) = \sigma_L(\omega) - \sigma_{L'}(\omega)$. Since Proposition 3.3 implies that $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W_F) = \sigma_L(\omega)$ and $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W_{F'}) = \sigma_{L'}(\omega)$, it follows from the claim that

$$\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(V) = \sigma_L(\omega) - \sigma_{L'}(\omega) + \operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W)$$

Next, we argue that the signature defect $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(W)$ vanishes. By Proposition 5.3, the ordinary signature of W vanishes. Combining Propositions 5.8 and 5.12, there exists a Lagrangian $L_{\mathbb{C}} \subset H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ for the nonsingular intersection form on $H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)/\operatorname{im} H_2(\partial W; \mathbb{C}^\omega) \to H_2(W; \mathbb{C}^\omega)$ and thus the twisted signature of W vanishes.

To conclude the proof, it only remains to show that $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(V)$ vanishes. Recall that $\partial V = M_F \cup_\Sigma M_{F'}$, where Σ is a disjoint union of tori. Gluing the components of F, F' and Σ along their respective boundaries expresses ∂V as a plumbed 3-manifold. Since L and L' are 1-solvable cobordant, their linking numbers match up, see Remark 5.5. Thus ∂V is balanced. Proposition 4.10 now implies that $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega(V) = 0$, as desired. □

Appendix A. Another proof of the well-definedness of the signature

The aim of this appendix is to use Section 4 to prove that the signature defect $\mathrm{sign}_\omega(W_F) - \mathrm{sign}(W_F)$ is independent of the choice of colored bounding surface F, without relying on Viro [Vir09] and Proposition 3.3. In Section A.1, we use the structure of plumbed manifolds of some 3-manifolds in order to compute a particular Maslov index, and in Section A.2 we use the vanishing results for the signature defect appearing in Section 4 in order to prove the desired result, see Theorem A.8.

A.1. A Maslov index computation.

This section is devoted to the computation of a Maslov index which will be used to show that the signature is well-defined, see Corollary A.5.

Given two bounding surfaces F, F' for a link L, denote their exteriors by $W_F, W_{F'}$. Recall from Example 4.12 that $\partial W_F = X_L \cup_{L\times S^1} M_F$ and $\partial W_{F'} = X_L \cup_{L\times S^1} M_{F'}$, where $M_F, M_{F'}$ are plumbed 3-manifolds. We glue the 4-manifolds W_F and $W_{F'}$ together along the link exterior X_L. To compute the Maslov index, we need to consider the following subspaces:

$$\begin{aligned}\ker M_F &= \ker H_1(L\times S^1;\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(M_F;\mathbb{R}),\\ \ker M_{F'} &= \ker H_1(L\times S^1;\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(M_{F'};\mathbb{R}),\\ \ker X_L &= \ker H_1(L\times S^1;\mathbb{R}) \to H_1(X_L;\mathbb{R}).\end{aligned}$$

The spaces $\ker M_F$ and $\ker M_{F'}$ were already described in Lemma 4.13. In this section we introduce the following notation. Given a link $L = K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_n$, the homology group $H_1(L\times S^1;\mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H_1(K_i\times S^1;\mathbb{Z})$ will be given the basis consisting of the meridians μ_K and longitudes l_K for every the torus $K\times S^1 \subset X_L$, with intersection form $\omega(l_K,\mu_K) = 1$. We will also make use of the abbreviation $\mu_i = \mu_{K_i}$ and $l_i = l_{K_i}$. Given a bounding surface F for L, the boundary of a component C is a sublink of L and the sum of the longitudes of the link ∂C is denoted by $[\partial C]$. For each component C of F, we fix a component K_C in the boundary of C and denote the meridian of K_C by μ_C. With the current notation, and adopting the convention for which $\mathrm{lk}(\partial F_i, \partial F_i) = 0$, Lemma 4.13 can be rewritten as follows.

Lemma A.1. *Let $F = F_1 \cup \ldots \cup F_m$ be a bounding surface for the link L. The kernel $\ker M_F$ is generated by the elements*

$$[\partial C] - \sum_{i=1}^m \mathrm{lk}(\partial C, \partial F_i)\mu_{F_i} \text{ and } \quad \mu_K - \mu_C,$$

for all $C = F_j$ with $1 \le j \le m$ and for every boundary component $K \neq K_C$ of the component C.

Next, we compute the kernel $\ker X_L$.

Lemma A.2. *Given a link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_n$, the kernel $\ker X_L$ is generated by elements*

$$l_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathrm{lk}(l_i, K_j)\mu_j$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consequently, the projection below is an isomorphism

$$\ker X_L \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{R})/\langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \rangle = \mathbb{R}\langle l_1, \dots, l_n \rangle.$$

Proof. The homology $H_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by the meridians and the isomorphism $H_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ can be described by

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}\langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \rangle \\ \gamma &\mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(\gamma, K_j)\mu_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next step is to study $(\ker M_F + \ker M_{F'}) \cap \ker X_L$. Indeed, recall from Section 2.3 that this is the space which supports the symmetric bilinear form whose signature is the Maslov index.

Lemma A.3. *Let F, F' be bounding surfaces for a link L. The module $(\ker M_F + \ker M_{F'}) \cap \ker X_L$ is contained in*

$$V = \Big\langle [\partial C] - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, K_j)\mu_j \colon C \text{ a component of } F \text{ or } F' \Big\rangle.$$

Proof. Consider the image of $(\ker M_F + \ker M_{F'}) \cap \ker X_L$ under the isomorphism $\pi \colon \ker X_L \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{R})/\langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \rangle$ described in Lemma A.2. This image is contained in the image W of $\ker M_F + \ker M_{F'}$ under the projection

$$H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{R}) \to H_1(L \times S^1; \mathbb{R})/\langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \rangle = \mathbb{R}\langle l_1, \dots, l_n \rangle.$$

From Lemma A.1, we deduce that W is generated by elements $[\partial C]$, where C ranges over the components of F and F'. As a consequence, we have $(\ker M_F + \ker M_{F'}) \cap \ker X_L \subset \pi^{-1}W = V$, which proves the claim. □

For two bounding surfaces F, F' with boundary a link L, we say F is *finer* than F' if the boundary ∂C of every component C of F' bounds a union of components of F. Note in this case, $(\ker M_F + \ker M_{F'}) \cap \ker X_L$ is even contained in

$$V = \Big\langle [\partial C] - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, K_j)\mu_j \colon C \text{ a component of } F \Big\rangle.$$

In this setting, relying on Lemmas A.1 and Lemma A.2, we study the symmetric bilinear form whose signature is the desired Maslov index.

Lemma A.4. *Let F, F' be two bounding surfaces for a link L. Suppose F is finer than F'. If $a \in V$ and $a_F \in \ker M_F$, then $\xi(a_F, a) = 0$.*

Proof. By linearity of the intersection form ξ, it is enough to show the statement for the generators described in Lemma A.3 and Lemma A.1. Consequently, given a boundary component C of F and setting $a := \partial C - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, K_j)\mu_j$, we shall break the proof into two steps. Denote the components of F by $F_1, \dots, F_m$. First, we show that $\xi(\mu_K - \mu_{C'}, a) = 0$, and then we show that $\xi(\partial C - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, \partial F_i)\mu_{F_i}, a)$ also vanishes.

To deal with the first step, let K be a boundary component of C' and μ_K and $\mu_{C'}$ be the associated meridians. Using the definition of a and the definition of ξ, we immediately get

$$\xi(\mu_K - \mu_{C'}, a) = \xi(\mu_K - \mu_{C'}, \partial C - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, K_j)\mu_j) = \xi(\mu_K - \mu_{C'}, \partial C)$$
$$= \begin{cases} 1-1 & \text{, for } C = C' \\ 0-0 & \text{, for } C \neq C' \end{cases}.$$

Note that in the penultimate step, we used that both μ_K and $\mu_{C'}$ are meridians of two boundary components of C'.

Now we deal with the second step by showing that $\xi(\partial C - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, \partial F_i)\mu_{F_i}, a)$ vanishes. Using the definition of a and the bilinearity of ξ, we obtain

(7)
$$\xi(\partial C - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, \partial F_i)\mu_{F_i}, a) = \xi(\partial C - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, \partial F_i)\mu_{F_i}, \partial C' - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C', K_j)\mu_j)$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, \partial F_i)\xi(\mu_{F_i}, \partial C') - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C', K_j)\xi(\partial C, \mu_j).$$

Using the fact that ξ is skew-symmetric, we switch the sign of the first sum. In this sum, $\xi(\partial C', \mu_{F_i})$ is only non-zero when $F_i = C'$ and in that case it equals 1. Similarly, in the second sum, $\xi(\partial C, \mu_j)$ equals 1 when K_j belongs to ∂C and is 0 otherwise. As a consequence, Equation (7) reduces to

$$\operatorname{lk}(\partial C, \partial C') - \sum_{K_j \subset \partial C} \operatorname{lk}(\partial C', K_j) = \operatorname{lk}(\partial C, \partial C') - \operatorname{lk}(\partial C', \partial C) = 0.$$

□

Making use of Lemma A.4, we conclude:

Corollary A.5. *Let F and F' be bounding surfaces for a link L so that $\partial W_F = X_L \cup_{L\times S^1} M_F$ and $\partial W_{F'} = X_L \cup_{L\times S^1} M_{F'}$, where W_F and $W_{F'}$ are the exteriors of F and F'. If F is finer than F', then*

$$\operatorname{Maslov}(\ker M_F, \ker M_{F'}, \ker X_L) = 0.$$

Proof. Recall that the Maslov index $\operatorname{Maslov}(\ker M_F, \ker M_{F'}, \ker X_L)$ is obtained by taking the signature of the following pairing on $(\ker M_F + \ker M_{F'}) \cap \ker X_L$ defined by $(a, b) \mapsto \xi(a_F, b)$, where $a = a_F + a_{F'}$ with $a_F \in \ker M_F$. As $(\ker M_F + \ker M_{F'}) \cap \ker X_L \subset V$, Lemma A.4 shows that the Maslov index vanishes. □

A.2. **Well-definedness of the signature.** We conclude here our alternative proof that the colored signature is independent of the choice of a colored bounding surface.

For next two lemmas, suppose that $F = F_1 \cup \ldots \cup F_\mu$ and $F' = F'_1 \cup \ldots \cup F'_\mu$ are two colored bounding surfaces for L. Let $W_F, W_{F'}$ be their exteriors and set $W = W_F \cup_{X_L} -W_{F'}$. Clearly, the representation induced by $\omega\colon \mathbb{Z}^\mu \to S^1$ extends over W. We also assume that F is finer than F', i.e. the boundary ∂C of every component C of F' bounds a union of components of F.

Our first lemma relies on the lengthly Maslov index computation of Section A.1.

Lemma A.6. *If F is finer than F', then the twisted and untwisted signatures of W satisfy*

(1) $\operatorname{sign} W = \operatorname{sign} W_F - \operatorname{sign} W_{F'}$,
(2) $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W = \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F - \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_{F'}$.

Proof. The first statement follows by combining the Novikov-Wall addivity of Theorem 2.11 with the vanishing of the Maslov index from Corollary A.5. The second statement follows from Proposition 2.12 because the twisted homology of $L \times S^1$ vanishes. Indeed, the latter space is a union of tori on each of which the representation is non-trivial, as a meridian of color i is sent to $\omega_i \neq 1$. □

In order to apply Proposition 4.10, we need the following result.

Lemma A.7. *The boundary of W is homeomorphic over $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$ to the plumbing $\operatorname{Pb}(G)$ of a balanced graph G equipped with a meridional homomorphism $\phi\colon \operatorname{Pb}(G) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$.*

Proof. Consider the surface $\Sigma = \bigcup_i -F_i \cup_{L_i} F_i' \subset S^4 = -D^4 \cup_{S^3} D^4$ obtained by by gluing the surfaces F and F' along the link L. Note that $W = -W_F \cup_{X_L} W_{F'}$ can be described as the exterior of Σ in S^4. As in Definition 4.11, we consider the intersection graph $G = (V, E)$ of Σ, whose set of vertices V consists of the components of Σ and the edges $e \in E$ are triples $e = (x, C, C')$, where x is an intersection point between the components C and C'.

We label each edge e of G by the sign $\varepsilon(e) = \pm 1$ of the intersection point. As in Example 4.12, the associated plumbing describes the boundary of a neighourhood $\nu\Sigma$. Consequently, ∂W is homeomorphic to $\operatorname{Pb}(G)$. Since the map $H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ sends a meridian of each surface F_i, F_i' to the generator e_i of $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$, the induced map $\operatorname{Pb}(G) \to \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ is meridional.

To complete the proof, it remains to show that the graph G is balanced. We have to prove that $\sum_{e \in E(C,C')} \varepsilon(e) = 0$ for two components C and C' of Σ. Recall that if we cut $S^4 = -D^4_- \cup_{S^3} D^4_+$ along S^3, it splits into two 4-balls. Given two vertices C, $C' \in V$, we consider the sets

$$E(C, C') = \{e \in E\colon s(e) = C, t(e) = C'\},$$
$$E_\pm(C, C') = \{(x, C, C') \in E\colon x \in D^4_\pm\}.$$

We can also split a component C along S^3 and obtain two surfaces $C_- \subset D^4_-$ and $C_+ \subset D^4_+$ both bounding the same sublink $\partial C_+ = L_C = -\partial C_-$ of L. Consequently, the computation

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{e \in E(C,C')} \varepsilon(e) &= \sum_{e \in E_+(C,C')} \varepsilon(e) + \sum_{e \in E_-(C,C')} \varepsilon(e) \\ &= C_+ \cdot C'_+ - C_- \cdot C'_- = \operatorname{lk}(L_C, L_{C'}) - \operatorname{lk}(L_C, L_{C'}) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

shows that G is balanced, completing the proof of the lemma. □

Using the two previous lemmata, we can now give a different proof of [Vir09, Theorem 2.A].

Theorem A.8. *Given a μ colored link L, the colored signature $\sigma_L(\omega) = \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F$ is independent of the choice of the colored bounding surface F.*

Proof. Suppose that $F = F_1 \cup \ldots \cup F_\mu$ and $F' = F_1' \cup \ldots \cup F_\mu'$ are two colored bounding surfaces for $L \subset S^3$, and let $W_F, W_{F'}$ be their respective exteriors. Using Proposition 3.3, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{dsign}_\omega W_F = \operatorname{dsign}_\omega W_{F'}$.

Set $W := W_F \cup_{X_L} -W_{F'}$. First, we consider the case where F is finer than F'. Recall this means that the boundary ∂C of every component C of F' bounds a union of components of F. In this case, Lemma A.6 implies that $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W = \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F - \operatorname{sign}_\alpha W_{F'}$ and $\operatorname{sign} W = \operatorname{sign} W_{F'} - \operatorname{sign} W_{F'}$. Thanks to Lemma A.7, ∂W satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 4.10, so that $\operatorname{sign}_\omega W - \operatorname{sign} W = 0$. It follows that

$$(\operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F - \operatorname{sign} W_F) - (\operatorname{sign}_\omega W_{F'} - \operatorname{sign} W_{F'}) = \operatorname{sign}_\omega W - \operatorname{sign} W = 0.$$

The general statement follows from the fact that given two μ-colored bounding surfaces F, F' of L, one can find a third μ-colored bounding surface $\bar{F}$, such that both F and F' are finer than $\bar{F}$. In fact, we can pick $\bar{F}$ to be F where all components of the same color are tubed together. Now we can apply the case above and get

$$\operatorname{sign}_\omega W_F - \operatorname{sign} W_F = \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_{\bar{F}} - \operatorname{sign} W_{\bar{F}} = \operatorname{sign}_\omega W_{F'} - \operatorname{sign} W_{F'},$$

which proves the theorem. □

References

[APS75] Michael F. Atiyah, Vijay K. Patodi, and Isador M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. II. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 78(3):405–432, 1975.

[BFP16] Maciej Borodzik, Stefan Friedl, and Mark Powell. Blanchfield forms and Gordian distance. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 68(3):1047–1080, 2016.

[BGV92] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michèle Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, volume 298 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[Bre93] Glen E. Bredon. *Topology and geometry*, volume 139 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[CF64] Pierre E. Conner and Edwin E. Floyd. *Differentiable periodic maps*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N. F., Band 33. Academic Press Inc., Publishers, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1964.

[CF08] David Cimasoni and Vincent Florens. Generalized Seifert surfaces and signatures of colored links. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(3):1223–1264 (electronic), 2008.

[CFT16] Anthony Conway, Stefan Friedl, and Enrico Toffoli. The Blanchfield pairing of colored links. *ArXiv e-prints*, 1609.08057, 2016. http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08057.

[Cha14] Jae Choon Cha. Symmetric Whitney tower cobordism for bordered 3-manifolds and links. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(6):3241–3273, 2014.

[Cim04] David Cimasoni. A geometric construction of the Conway potential function. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 79(1):124–146, 2004.

[Coo82] Daryl Cooper. The universal abelian cover of a link. In *Low-dimensional topology (Bangor, 1979)*, volume 48 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 51–66. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1982.

[COT03] Tim D. Cochran, Kent E. Orr, and Peter Teichner. Knot concordance, Whitney towers and L^2-signatures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 157(2):433–519, 2003.

[DFL] Alex Degtyarev, Vincent Florens, and Ana Lecuna. Signature and slopes of colored links. to appear.

[FK06] Stefan Friedl and Taehee Kim. The Thurston norm, fibered manifolds and twisted Alexander polynomials. *Topology*, 45(6):929–953, 2006.

[Hil12] Jonathan Hillman. *Algebraic invariants of links*, volume 52 of *Series on Knots and Everything*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, second edition, 2012.

[HNK71] Friedrich Hirzebruch, Walter D. Neumann, and Sebastian S. Koh. *Differentiable manifolds and quadratic forms*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1971. Appendix II by W. Scharlau, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 4.

[Kim15] Min Hoon Kim. Whitney towers, gropes and Casson-Gordon style invariants of links. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(3):1813–1845, 2015.

[Lev69] Jerome Levine. Knot cobordism groups in codimension two. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 44:229–244, 1969.
[Lev77] Jerome Levine. Knot modules. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 229:1–50, 1977.
[Lic97] W. B. Raymond Lickorish. *An introduction to knot theory*, volume 175 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
[Mur65] Kunio Murasugi. On a certain numerical invariant of link types. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 117:387–422, 1965.
[Neu79] Walter D. Neumann. Signature related invariants of manifolds. I. Monodromy and γ-invariants. *Topology*, 18(2):147–172, 1979.
[Neu81] Walter D. Neumann. A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 268(2):299–344, 1981.
[NP17] Matthias Nagel and Mark Powell. Concordance invariance of Levine-Tristram signatures of links. *Documenta Mathematica*, 22:25–43, 2017.
[Pow17] Mark Powell. The four-genus of a link, Levine–Tristram signatures and satellites. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 26(2):1740008, 28, 2017.
[Ser80] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Trees*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980. Translated from the French by John Stillwell.
[Tri69] Andrew G. Tristram. Some cobordism invariants for links. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 66:251–264, 1969.
[Vir09] Oleg Viro. Twisted acyclicity of a circle and signatures of a link. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 18(6):729–755, 2009.
[Wal69] C. Terence C. Wall. Non-additivity of the signature. *Invent. Math.*, 7:269–274, 1969.

Université de Genève, Section de mathématiques, 2-4 rue du Lièvre, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland
E-mail address: anthony.conway@unige.ch

Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Canada
E-mail address: nagel@cirget.ca

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, Germany
E-mail address: enricotoffoli@gmail.com